arXiv:1208.2433v1 [math.RT] 12 Aug 2012

Frobenius-Schur indicator for categories with duality

Kenichi Shimizu*

Abstract

We introduce the Frobenius-Schur indicator for categories with duality to give a category-theoretical understanding of various generalizations of the Frobenius-Schur theorem, including that for semisimple quasi-Hopf algebras, weak Hopf C^*-algebras and association schemes. Our framework also clarifies a mechanism how the 'twisted' theory arises from the ordinary case. As a demonstration, we give a twisted Frobenius-Schur theorem for semisimple quasi-Hopf algebras. We also give several applications to the quantum SL_2.

1 Introduction

We begin by recalling the celebrated theorem of Frobenius and Schur. Let χ be the character of a complex continuous representation of a compact group G. The *Frobenius-Schur indicator* (or FS indicator, for short) of χ is defined by

$$\nu(\chi) = \int_G \chi(g^2) d\mu(g), \tag{1.1}$$

where μ is the normalized Haar measure on G. If χ is irreducible, then the value of $\nu(\chi)$ has the following representation-theoretic meaning:

Theorem (Frobenius-Schur theorem)**.** *Let V be a complex continuous irreducible representation of a compact group G, and let χ be the character of V. Then we have*

$$\nu(V) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } V \text{ is real,} \\ 0 & \text{if } V \text{ is complex,} \\ -1 & \text{if } V \text{ is quaternionic.} \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, the following statements are equivalent:

(1) $\nu(\chi) \neq 0$.

(2) V is isomorphic to its dual representation.

(3) There exists a non-degenerate G-invariant bilinear form b on V.

---

*Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan

If one of the above equivalent statements holds, then such a bilinear form b on V is unique up to scalar multiples and satisfies $b(w, v) = \nu(\chi) \cdot b(v, w)$ for all $v, w \in V$. In other words, b is symmetric if $\nu(\chi) = +1$ and is skew-symmetric if $\nu(\chi) = -1$.

The Frobenius-Schur theorem has been generalized in various directions, including Hopf algebras, tensor categories and conformal field theories. The following is a list of such generalizations:

(FS1) Semisimple Hopf algebras (Linchenko-Montgomery [1]).
(FS2) Semisimple quasi-Hopf algebras (Ng-Mason [2], Schauenburg [3]).
(FS3) Conformal field theories (Bantay [4]).
(FS4) C^*-fusion categories (Fuchs-Ganchev-Szlachányi-Vecsernyés [5]).
(FS5) Sovereign tensor categories (Fuchs-Schweigert [6]).
(FS6) Pivotal monoidal categories (Ng-Schauenburg [7–9]).

The work of Ng and Schauenburg gives a category-theoretical understanding of (FS1)-(FS5). These theories have many applications in Hopf algebras and tensor categories; see [10–17]. On the other hand, there are generalizations of the Frobenius-Schur theorem in other directions:

(FS7) Twisted FS indicator for finite groups (Kawanaka-Matsuyama [18]).
(FS8) Twisted FS indicator for semisimple Hopf algebras (Sage-Vega [19]).
(FS9) FS indicator for association schemes (Hanaki-Terada [20]).

There is no obvious way to understand them in the framework of Ng and Schauenburg since we do not know whether there is (and what is) a suitable pivotal monoidal category to treat them. The first aim of this paper is to give a category-theoretic understanding of the theories (FS7)-(FS9). Following the approaches of (FS4)-(FS6), we see that the duality is what we need to define the FS indicator. This observation leads us to the notion of a *category with duality* (Definition 2.1), which has been well-studied in the theory of Witt groups [21,22]. We introduce and study the FS indicator for categories with duality (Definition 2.8). Considering a suitable category, we recover (FS7)-(FS9) and further obtain the Frobenius-Schur theorem for Doi's group-like algebras (Example 3.9) and finite-dimensional weak Hopf C^*-algebras (Example 3.10).

Our framework also clarify a mechanism how the 'twisted' theory arises from the ordinary case (*cf.* [19, §4]). As a demonstration, we built a twisted Frobenius-Schur theorem for semisimple quasi-Hopf algebras (§3.4). Finally, we give several applications to the quantum SL_2.

Remark 1.1. In a Hopf algebraic context, the n-th FS indicator ν_n is defined for each $n \geq 2$ (see [1,8,13]). What we have called the FS indicator is in fact the second FS indicator ν_2. In this paper, we consider only the second one and generalize it to categories with duality. There is a reason why we cannot define higher (*i.e.*, n-th for $n \geq 3$) FS indicators in our settings; see Remarks 2.6 and 2.13.

Bump and Ginzburg [23] introduced the higher twisted FS indicator for finite groups and Sage and Vega [19] generalized them to semisimple Hopf algebras. It is interesting and natural to ask what is a good category-theoretical framework to deal with the higher twisted FS indicators.

Remark 1.2. Linchenko and Montgomery [1] established a relation between the FS indicator and invariant bilinear forms on a given representation. Unlike the case of compact groups, a relation between the FS indicator and 'reality' of representations is not known in the case of Hopf algebras; as remarked in [1], the reality of a representation of a Hopf algebra is not defined since, in general, a Hopf algebra do not have a good basis like the group elements of the group algebra.

In a forthcoming paper, we will introduce the notion of real representations of a Hopf $*$-algebra and formulate (1.2) in a Hopf algebraic context. We will also provide an exact quantum analog of the Frobenius-Schur theorem for compact quantum groups.

1.1 Organization

The present paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, following Mac Lane [24], we recall some basic results on adjoint functors and then introduce a category with duality in terms of adjunctions. By generalizing the definitions of (FS4)-(FS6), we define the FS indicator of an object of a category with duality (Definition 2.8). We also introduce a general method to 'twist' the given duality by an adjunction. Then the twisted FS indicator is defined to be the 'untwisted' FS indicator with respect to the twisted duality.

Pivotal Hopf algebras are introduced as a class of Hopf algebras whose representation category is a pivotal monoidal category; see, *e.g.*, [14]. Motivated by this notion, in Section 3, a *pivotal algebra* is defined to be a triple (A, S, g) consisting of an algebra A, an anti-algebra map $S : A \to A$ and an invertible element $g \in A$ satisfying certain conditions (Definition 3.1). The representation category of a pivotal algebra is not monoidal in general but has duality. Therefore the FS indicator of an A-module is defined in the way of Section 2.

Note that, from our point of view, (1.1) is not the definition but a formula to compute the FS indicator. A natural problem is when such a formula exists. In Section 3, we also give a formula for a separable pivotal algebra (Theorem 3.8); if a pivotal algebra $A = (A, S, g)$ has a separability idempotent E, then

$$\nu(V) = \sum_i \chi_V(S(E_i')gE_i'') \quad \Big(E = \sum_i E_i' \otimes E_i''\Big) \tag{1.3}$$

for all finite-dimensional left A-module V with character χ_V. The case $g = 1$ is the formula of Linchenko and Montgomery [1] (see also Doi [25]). By specializing (1.3), we obtain a formula for group-like algebras (Example 3.9) and for finite-dimensional weak Hopf C^*-algebras (Example 3.10). We also obtain the formula of Mason and Ng [2] for finite-dimensional semisimple quasi-Hopf algebras and its twisted version (§3.4).

In Section 4, we introduce a *copivotal coalgebra* as the dual notion of pivotal algebras. Each result of Section 3 has an analogue in the case of copivotal coalgebras. A crucial difference from the case of algebras is that there are infinite-dimensional coseparable coalgebras. For example, the Hopf algebra $R(G)$ of continuous representative functions on a compact group G is coseparable with coseparability idempotent given by the Haar measure on G. The formula (1.1) is obtained by applying the coalgebraic version of (1.3) to $R(G)$.

In Section 5, we apply our results to the quantum coordinate algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$ and the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We first determine the FS indicator of all simple right $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$-comodules. In a similar way, we also determine the twisted FS indicator with respect to an involution of $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$ corresponding to the group homomorphism

$$SL_2(k) \to SL_2(k), \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

in the classical limit $q \to 1$. Similar results for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ are also given.

1.2 Notation

Given a category $\mathcal{C}$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)$ the set of all morphisms from X to Y. $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ means the opposite category of $\mathcal{C}$. An object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is often written as X^{op} when it is regarded an object of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$. A similar notation is used for morphisms. A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is denoted by F^{op} if it is regarded as a functor $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

Throughout, we work over a fixed field k of characteristic not two. By an *algebra*, we mean a unital associative algebra over k. Given a vector space V (over k), we denote by $V^{\vee} = \mathrm{Hom}_k(V,k)$ the dual space of V. For $f \in V^{\vee}$ and $v \in V$, we often write $f(v)$ as $\langle f, v \rangle$. Unless otherwise noted, the unadorned tensor symbol $\otimes$ means the tensor product over k. Given $t \in V^{\otimes n}$, we often write t as

$$t = t^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes t^n \in V \otimes \cdots \otimes V.$$

The comultiplication and the counit of a coalgebra are denoted by Δ and ε, respectively. For an element c of a coalgebra, we use Sweedler's notation

$$\Delta(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}, \ \Delta(c_{(1)}) \otimes c_{(2)} = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)} = c_{(1)} \otimes \Delta(c_{(2)}), \ \ldots$$

2 Categories with duality

2.1 Adjunctions

Following Mac Lane [24], we recall basic results on adjunctions. Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be categories. An *adjunction* from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$ is a triple (F, G, Φ) consisting of functors $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ and a natural bijection $\Phi_{X,Y} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, G(Y))$ ($X \in \mathcal{C}$, $Y \in \mathcal{D}$).

Given an adjunction (F, G, Φ) from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$, the *unit* $\eta : \mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to GF$ and the *counit* $\varepsilon : FG \to \mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$ of the adjunction (F, G, Φ) are defined by $\eta_X =$

$\Phi_{X,F(X)}(\mathsf{id}_{F(X)})$ and $\varepsilon_Y = \Phi^{-1}_{G(Y),Y}(\mathsf{id}_{G(Y)})$ for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}$, respectively. They satisfy the counit-unit equations

$$\varepsilon_{F(X)} \circ F(\eta_X) = \mathsf{id}_{F(X)} \quad \text{and} \quad G(\varepsilon_Y) \circ \eta_{G(Y)} = \mathsf{id}_{G(Y)} \tag{2.1}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}$. By using η, the natural bijection Φ is expressed as

$$\Phi_{X,Y}(f) = G(f) \circ \eta_X \quad (f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(X), Y). \tag{2.2}$$

Similarly, by using ε, the inverse of Φ is expressed as

$$\Phi^{-1}_{X,Y}(g) = \varepsilon_Y \circ F(g) \quad (g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, G(Y)). \tag{2.3}$$

Note that $\circ$ at the right-hand side stands for the composition in $\mathcal{D}$. We will deal with the case where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$, the opposite category of $\mathcal{C}$.

Each adjunction is determined by its unit and counit; indeed, let $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ be functors, and let $\eta : \mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to GF$ and $\varepsilon : FG \to \mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$ be natural transformations satisfying (2.1). If we define Φ by (2.2), then the triple (F, G, Φ) is an adjunction from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$ whose unit and counit are η and ε. From this reason, we abuse terminology and refer to such a quadruple $(F, G, \eta, \varepsilon)$ as an adjunction from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$.

2.2 Categories with duality

The following terminologies are taken from Balmer [21] and Calmés-Hornbostel [22].

Definition 2.1. A *category with duality* is a triple $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, (-)^\vee, j)$ consisting of a category $\mathcal{C}$, a contravariant functor $(-)^\vee : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ and a natural transformation $j : \mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to (-)^{\vee\vee}$ satisfying

$$(j_X)^\vee \circ j_{X^\vee} = \mathsf{id}_{X^\vee} \tag{2.4}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. If, moreover, j is a natural isomorphism, then we say that $\mathcal{C}$ is a *category with strong duality* or, simply, $\mathcal{C}$ is *strong*.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with duality. We call the functor $(-)^\vee : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ the *duality functor* of $\mathcal{C}$. A pivotal monoidal category is an example of categories with duality; see [3, Appendix]. Thus we call the natural transformation $j : \mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to (-)^{\vee\vee}$ the *pivotal morphism* of $\mathcal{C}$.

Example 2.2. The category $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ of finite-dimensional representations of a group G over k is an abelian category with strong duality; the duality functor is given by the dual representation and the pivotal morphism is the canonical isomorphism of vector spaces.

Let D denote the duality functor of $\mathcal{C}$ regarded as a covariant functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$. Definition 2.1 says that the quadruple $(D, D^{\mathrm{op}}, j, j^{\mathrm{op}}) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is an adjunction. Hence we obtain a natural bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{T}_{X,Y} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y^\vee) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}(D(Y), X^{\mathrm{op}}) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, D^{\mathrm{op}}(X^{\mathrm{op}})) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X^\vee) \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{C}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

which we call the *transposition map*. By (2.2), $\mathsf{T}_{X,Y}$ is expressed as

$$\mathsf{T}_{X,Y}(f) = f^\vee \circ j_Y \quad (f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y^\vee)). \tag{2.6}$$

By (2.3), we have $\mathsf{T}^{-1}_{X,Y}(g) = g^\vee \circ j_X$ ($g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X^\vee)$). Hence we have

$$\mathsf{T}_{Y,X} \circ \mathsf{T}_{X,Y} = \mathsf{T}^{-1}_{X,Y} \circ \mathsf{T}_{X,Y} = \mathsf{id}_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y^\vee)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Note that j is not necessarily an isomorphism. By understanding a category with duality as a kind of adjunction, we obtain the following characterization of categories with strong duality.

Lemma 2.3. *For a category $\mathcal{C}$ with duality, the following are equivalent:*
(1) $\mathcal{C}$ is a category with strong duality.
(2) The duality functor $(-)^\vee : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is an equivalence.

Proof. Let, in general, $(F, G, \eta, \varepsilon)$ be an adjunction between some categories. Then F is fully faithful if and only if η is an isomorphism [24, IV.3]. Now we apply this result to the above quadruple $(D, D^{\mathrm{op}}, j, j^{\mathrm{op}})$ as follows: If $\mathcal{C}$ is strong, then D is fully faithful. Since $X \cong X^{\vee\vee} = D(X^\vee)$ ($X \in \mathcal{C}$), D is essentially surjective. Hence, D is an equivalence. The converse is clear, since an equivalence of categories is fully faithful. ◻

Following [22], we introduce duality preserving functors and related notions:

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be categories with duality. A *duality preserving functor* from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$ is a pair (F, ξ) consisting of a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and a natural transformation $\xi : F(X^\vee) \to F(X)^\vee$ ($X \in \mathcal{C}$) making

$$\begin{tikzcd} F(X) \arrow[r, "F(j_X)"] \arrow[d, "j_{F(X)}"'] & F(X^{\vee\vee}) \arrow[d, "\xi_{X^\vee}"] \\ F(X)^{\vee\vee} \arrow[r, "\xi_X^\vee"'] & F(X^\vee)^\vee \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.8}$$

commute for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. If, moreover, ξ is an isomorphism, then (F, ξ) is said to be a *strong*. If ξ is the identity, then (F, ξ) is said to be *strict*.

Now let $(F, \xi), (G, \zeta) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be such functors. A *morphism of duality preserving functors* from (F, ξ) to (G, ζ) is a natural transformation $h : F \to G$ making

$$\begin{tikzcd} F(X^\vee) \arrow[r, "\xi_X"] \arrow[d, "h_{X^\vee}"'] & F(X)^\vee \\ G(X^\vee) \arrow[r, "\zeta_X"'] & G(X)^\vee \arrow[u, "h_X^\vee"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

commute for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

If $(F,\xi) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $(G,\zeta) : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{E}$ are duality preserving functors, then the composition $G \circ F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}$ becomes a duality preserving functor with

$$G(F(X^\vee)) \xrightarrow{G(\xi_X)} G(F(X)^\vee) \xrightarrow{\zeta_{F(X)}} G(F(X))^\vee \quad (X \in \mathcal{C}).$$

One can check that categories with duality form a 2-category; 1-arrows are duality preserving functors and 2-arrows are morphisms of duality preserving functors. Hence we can define an *isomorphism* and an *equivalence* of categories with duality in the usual way.

Given a duality preserving functor $(F,\xi) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, we define

$$\tilde{F}_{X,Y} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y^\vee) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), F(Y)^\vee) \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{C})$$

by $\tilde{F}_{X,Y}(f) = \xi_Y \circ F(f)$ for $f : X \to Y^\vee$. $\tilde{F}$ is compatible with the transposition map in the sense that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y^\vee) \arrow[r, "\tilde{F}_{X,Y}"] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}_{X,Y}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), F(Y)^\vee) \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}_{F(X),F(Y)}"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X^\vee) \arrow[r, "\tilde{F}_{X,Y}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(Y), F(X)^\vee)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.9}$$

commutes for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{T}_{F(X),F(Y)}(\tilde{F}_{X,Y}(f)) &= (\xi_Y \circ F(f))^\vee \circ j_{F(Y)} = F(f)^\vee \circ \xi_Y^\vee \circ j_{F(Y)} \\
&= F(f)^\vee \circ \xi_{X^\vee} \circ F(j_X) = \xi_Y \circ F(f^\vee) \circ F(j_X) = \tilde{F}_{X,Y}(\mathsf{T}_{X,Y}(f)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a category with strong duality. Then:

Lemma 2.5. *$\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a category with duality with the same duality functor as $\mathcal{C}$ and pivotal morphism $(j^{-1})^{\mathrm{op}}$. The duality functor on $\mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence of categories with duality between $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$.*

Hence, from (2.9) with $(F,\xi) = ((-)^\vee, \mathsf{id}_{(-)^\vee}) : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C}$, we see that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^\vee, Y) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}^{\mathrm{op}}_{X,Y}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}(Y^{\mathrm{op}}, (X^\vee)^{\mathrm{op}}) \arrow[r, "(-)^\vee"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y^\vee, X^{\vee\vee}) \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}_{Y^\vee,X^\vee}"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y^\vee, X) \arrow[r, equal] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}}(X^{\mathrm{op}}, (Y^\vee)^{\mathrm{op}}) \arrow[r, "(-)^\vee"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^\vee, Y^{\vee\vee})
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.10}$$

commutes for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathsf{T}^{\mathrm{op}}_{X,Y}$ is the transposition map for $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ regarded as a map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^\vee, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y^\vee, X)$. Explicitly, it is given by $\mathsf{T}^{\mathrm{op}}_{X,Y}(f) = j_X^{-1} \circ f^\vee$.

Remark 2.6. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a pivotal monoidal category, then there is a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^\vee, Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes Y) \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{C}),$$

where $\otimes$ is the tensor product of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{C}$ is the unit object. The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^{\vee}, X) \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}^{\mathrm{op}}_{X,X}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes X) \arrow[d, "E^2_X"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X^{\vee}, X) \arrow[r, "\cong"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, X \otimes X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where the horizontal arrows are the canonical bijections and E^2_X is the map used in [8] to define the FS indicator.

2.3 Frobenius-Schur indicator

Recall that a category $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be *k-linear* if each hom-set is a vector space over k and the composition of morphisms is k-bilinear. A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ between k-linear categories is said to be *k-linear* if the map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), F(Y))$, $f \mapsto F(f)$ is k-linear for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. Note that $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is k-linear if $\mathcal{C}$ is. Thus the k-linearity of a contravariant functor makes sense.

Definition 2.7. By a *category with duality over k*, we mean a k-linear category with duality whose duality functor is k-linear.

For simplicity, in this section, we always assume that a category $\mathcal{C}$ with duality over k satisfies the following finiteness condition:

$$\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) < \infty \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in \mathcal{C}. \tag{2.11}$$

Definition 2.8. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with duality over k. The *Frobenius-Schur indicator* (or FS indicator, for short) of $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is defined and denoted by $\nu(X) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{X,X})$, where Tr means the trace of a linear map.

The following is a list of basic properties of the FS indicator:

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with duality over k and let $X \in \mathcal{C}$.*

(a) *$\nu(X)$ depends on the isomorphism class of $X \in \mathcal{C}$.*

(b) *$\nu(X) = \dim_k B^+_{\mathcal{C}}(X) - \dim_k B^-_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$, where $B^{\pm}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) = \{b : X \to X^{\vee} \mid \mathsf{T}_{X,X}(b) = \pm b\}$.*

(c) *Let $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. If their biproduct $X_1 \oplus X_2$ exists, then we have $\nu(X_1 \oplus X_2) = \nu(X_1) + \nu(X_2)$.*

Proof. (a) Let $p : X \to Y$ be an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}$. Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(p, p^{\vee}) : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Y^{\vee}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X^{\vee}), \quad f \mapsto p^{\vee} \circ f \circ p$$

is an isomorphism. By the naturality of the transposition map, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Y^{\vee}) \arrow[r, "\mathsf{T}_{Y,Y}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(p,p^{\vee})"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Y^{\vee}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(p,p^{\vee})"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X^{\vee}) \arrow[r, "\mathsf{T}_{X,X}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X^{\vee})
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Hence, we have $\nu(X) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{X,X}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{Y,Y}) = \nu(Y)$.

(b) The result follows from (2.7) and the fact that the trace of an operator is the sum of its eigenvalues.

(c) For $a = 1, 2$, let $i_a : X_a \to X_1 \oplus X_2$ and $p_a : X_1 \oplus X_2 \to X_a$ be the inclusion and the projection, respectively. For $a, b, c, d = 1, 2$, we set

$$\mathsf{T}_{ab}^{cd} = p_{cd} \circ \mathsf{T}_{X_1 \oplus X_2, X_1 \oplus X_2} \circ i_{ab} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_a, X_b^{\vee}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_c, X_d^{\vee}),$$

where $i_{ab} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(p_a, p_b^{\vee})$ and $p_{cd} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i_c, i_d^{\vee})$. By linear algebra, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{X_1 \oplus X_2, X_1 \oplus X_2}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{11}^{11}) + \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{12}^{12}) + \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{21}^{21}) + \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{22}^{22}). \tag{2.12}$$

Now, by the naturality of the transposition map, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{T}_{ab}^{ab} &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i_a, i_b^{\vee}) \circ \mathsf{T}_{X_1 \oplus X_2, X_1 \oplus X_2} \circ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(p_a, p_b^{\vee}) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i_a, i_b^{\vee}) \circ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(p_b, p_a^{\vee}) \circ \mathsf{T}_{X_a, X_b} \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(p_b \circ i_a, p_a^{\vee} \circ i_b^{\vee}) \circ \mathsf{T}_{X_a, X_b}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence T_{ab}^{ab} is equal to T_{X_a, X_a} if $a = b$ and is zero otherwise. Combining this result with (2.12), we obtain $\nu(X_1 \oplus X_2) = \nu(X_1) + \nu(X_2)$. □

The FS indicator is an invariant of categories with duality over k. Indeed, the commutativity of (2.9) yields the following proposition:

Proposition 2.10. *Let $(F, \xi) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a strong duality preserving functor. If F is k-linear and fully faithful, then we have $\nu(F(X)) = \nu(X)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Similarly, we obtain the following proposition from (2.10):

Proposition 2.11. *Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a category with strong duality over k. Then, for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $\nu(X^{\vee}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{X,X}^{\mathrm{op}}) = \nu(X^{\mathrm{op}})$.*

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k-linear abelian category. Recall that a nonzero object of $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *simple* if it has no proper subobjects. We say that a simple object $V \in \mathcal{A}$ is *absolutely simple* if $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(V) \cong k$. Note that the opposite category $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is also k-linear and abelian. It is easy to see that an object of $\mathcal{A}$ is (absolutely) simple if and only if it is (absolutely) simple as an object of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

Proposition 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category with strong duality over k, and let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}$.*

(a) *If X is a finite biproduct of simple objects, then $\nu(X) = \nu(X^{\vee})$.*

(b) *If X is absolutely simple, then $\nu(X) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. $\nu(X) \neq 0$ if and only if X is self-dual, that is, X is isomorphic to $X^{\vee}$.*

Proof. (a) We first claim that if $V \in \mathcal{C}$ is simple, then $\nu(V) = \nu(V^{\vee})$. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}$ be a simple object. Since $(-)^{\vee} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is an equivalence, $V^{\vee}$ is simple as an object of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and hence it is simple as an object of $\mathcal{C}$. If V is isomorphic to $V^{\vee}$, then our claim is obvious. Otherwise, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, V^{\vee}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V^{\vee}, V^{\vee\vee}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V^{\vee}, V) = 0$$

by Schur's lemma. Therefore $\nu(V) = 0 = \nu(V^\vee)$ follows.

Now write X as $X = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_m$ for some simple objects $V_1, \dots, V_m \in \mathcal{C}$. By the above arguments and the additivity of the FS indicator, we have

$$\nu(X^\vee) = \nu(V_1^\vee) + \cdots + \nu(V_m^\vee) = \nu(V_1) + \cdots + \nu(V_m) = \nu(X).$$

(b) Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is absolutely simple. If X is isomorphic to $X^\vee$, then

$$\dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X^\vee) = \dim_k \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) = 1,$$

and hence $\nu(X)$ is either $+1$ or -1 by Proposition 2.9 (b). Otherwise, $\nu(X) = 0$ as we have seen in the proof of (a). ☐

Remark 2.13. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a pivotal monoidal category over k, then the n-th FS indicator $\nu_n(X)$ of $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is defined for each integer $n \geq 2$; see [8]. The commutativity of (2.9) implies $\nu_2(X) = \nu(X^\vee)$ (see also Remark 2.6). However, in view of Proposition 2.12, $\nu(X) = \nu_2(X)$ always holds in the case where $\mathcal{C}$ is strong, abelian, and semisimple. We prefer our Definition 2.8 since it is much convenient when we discuss the relation between the FS indicator and invariant bilinear forms.

One would like to define higher FS indicators for an object of a category with duality over k by extending that for an object of a pivotal monoidal category over k. This is impossible because of the following example: For a group G, we denote by $\mathsf{Vec}_{\mathsf{fd}}^G$ the k-linear pivotal monoidal category of finite-dimensional G-graded vector spaces over k. The n-th FS indicator of $V = \bigoplus_{x \in G} V_x \in \mathsf{Vec}_{\mathsf{fd}}^G$ is given by

$$\nu_n(V) = \sum_{x \in G[n]} \dim_k(V_x), \quad \text{where } G[n] = \{x \in G \mid x^n = 1\}. \tag{2.13}$$

Now we put

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathsf{Vec}_{\mathsf{fd}}^{\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathsf{Vec}_{\mathsf{fd}}^{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}.$$

There exists a bijection $f : \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ such that $f(x^{-1}) = f(x)^{-1}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$. f induces an equivalence $\mathcal{C} \approx \mathcal{D}$ of categories with duality over k. If we could define the n-th FS indicator for categories with duality over k, then there would exist at least one equivalence $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ such that $\nu_n(F(X)) = \nu_n(X)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $n \geq 2$. However, by (2.13), there is no such equivalence.

2.4 Separable functors

Consider the category $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ of Example 2.2. The FS indicator of $V \in \operatorname{Rep}(G)$ is interpreted as follows: Let $\operatorname{Bil}_G(V)$ denote the vector space of all G-invariant bilinear forms on V. We see that the transposition map induces an isomorphism

$$\Sigma_V : \operatorname{Bil}_G(V) \to \operatorname{Bil}_G(V), \quad \Sigma_V(b)(v, w) = b(w, v) \quad (b \in \operatorname{Bil}_G(V), v, w \in V)$$

via the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(V, V^\vee) \to \mathrm{Bil}_G(V), \quad f \mapsto \Big((v, w) \mapsto \langle f(v), w\rangle\Big). \tag{2.14}$$

Let $\mathrm{Bil}_G^{\pm}(V)$ denote the eigenspace of Σ_V with eigenvalue ± 1. Then we have

$$\nu(V) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{V,V}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\Sigma_V) = \dim_k \mathrm{Bil}_G^{+}(V) - \dim_k \mathrm{Bil}_G^{-}(V). \tag{2.15}$$

Thus, from our definition, it is almost obvious that $\nu(V)$ has the property stated in the Frobenius-Schur theorem. On the other hand, it is not obvious that $\nu(V)$ is expressed by (1.1). It should be emphasized that, from our point of view, (1.1) is not the definition of $\nu(V)$ but rather a formula to compute $\nu(V)$. We note that a similar point of view is effectively used to derive a formula of the FS indicator for semisimple finite-dimensional quasi-Hopf algebras in [3].

A key notion to derive (1.1) is a *separable functor* [26]; a functor $U : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{V}$ is said to be *separable* if there exists a natural transformation

$$\Pi_{X,Y} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U(X), U(Y)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{C})$$

such that $\Pi_{X,Y}(U(f)) = f$ for all $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$. Such a natural transformation Π is called a *section* of U. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ be k-linear. We say that a section Π of U is *k-linear* if $\Pi_{X,Y}$ is k-linear for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

Now let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with duality over k, and let $\mathcal{V}$ be a k-linear category satisfying (2.11). A k-linear functor $U : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{V}$ induces a k-linear map

$$U_{X,Y} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U(X), U(Y)) \quad f \mapsto U(f) \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{C}).$$

If U has a k-linear section Π, we define a linear map

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{X,Y} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U(X), U(Y^\vee)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U(Y), U(X^\vee)) \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{C}) \tag{2.16}$$

so that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U(X), U(Y^\vee)) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{X,Y}"] \arrow[d, "\Pi_{X,Y^\vee}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{V}}(U(Y), U(X^\vee)) \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y^\vee) \arrow[r, "\mathsf{T}_{X,Y}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X^\vee) \arrow[u, "U_{Y,X^\vee}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. By using the well-known identity $\mathrm{Tr}(AB) = \mathrm{Tr}(BA)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{X,X}) &= \mathrm{Tr}(U_{X,X^\vee} \circ \mathsf{T}_{X,X} \circ \Pi_{X,X^\vee}) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(\Pi_{X,X^\vee} \circ U_{X,X^\vee} \circ \mathsf{T}_{X,X}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{X,X}) = \nu(X).\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Before we explain how (1.1) is derived from (2.17), we recall the following lemma in linear algebra: Let $f : V \to W$ and $g : W \to V$ be linear maps between finite-dimensional vector spaces. We define

$$\widetilde{f} : V \otimes W \to V \otimes W, \quad \widetilde{f}(v \otimes w) = g(w) \otimes f(v). \quad (v \in V, w \in W).$$

Lemma 2.14. $\mathrm{Tr}(\widetilde{f}) = \mathrm{Tr}(fg)$.

Proof. Let $\{v_i\}$ and $\{w_j\}$ be a basis of V and W, and let $\{v^i\}$ and $\{w^j\}$ be the dual basis to $\{v_i\}$ and $\{w_j\}$, respectively. Then we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\widetilde{f}) = \sum_{i,j} \langle v^i, g(w_j)\rangle \langle w^j, f(v_i)\rangle = \sum_{j} \langle w^j, f(g(w_j))\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(fg).$$

Here, the first and the last equality follow from $\mathrm{Tr}(f) = \sum_i \langle v^i, f(v_i)\rangle$ and the second follows from $x = \sum_i \langle v^i, x\rangle v_i$. $\square$

The following variation is also useful: Let $\widetilde{f}$ be as above, and let $h : Z \to Z$ be a linear map on a finite-dimensional vector space Z. Define

$$T : \mathrm{Hom}_k(X \otimes Y, Z) \to \mathrm{Hom}_k(X \otimes Y, Z), \quad b \mapsto h \circ b \circ \widetilde{f}.$$

This map induces $(\widetilde{f})^\vee \otimes h : (X \otimes Y)^\vee \otimes Z \to (X \otimes Y)^\vee \otimes Z$ via the canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_k(X \otimes Y, Z) \cong (X \otimes Y)^\vee \otimes Z$. Hence we have:

Lemma 2.15. $\mathrm{Tr}(T) = \mathrm{Tr}(\widetilde{f})\,\mathrm{Tr}(h) = \mathrm{Tr}(fg)\,\mathrm{Tr}(h)$.

Let $\mathsf{Vec}_{\mathsf{fd}}$ denote the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over k. If G is finite and $|G| \neq 0$ in k, then the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathsf{Vec}_{\mathsf{fd}}$ is separable with section $\Pi_{V,W} : \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W) \to \mathrm{Hom}_G(V, W)$ $(V, W \in \mathrm{Rep}(G))$ given by

$$\Pi_{V,W}(f)(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} x f(x^{-1} v) \quad (f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W), v \in V).$$

Let $\mathrm{Bil}(V)$ denote the set of all bilinear forms on $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$. There is an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_k(V, V^\vee) \cong \mathrm{Bil}(V)$ given by the same expression as (2.14). Instead of (2.16), we prefer to consider the map $\widetilde{\Sigma}_V : \mathrm{Bil}(V) \to \mathrm{Bil}(V)$ given by

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_V(b)(v, w) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} b(xw, xv), \quad (b \in \mathrm{Bil}(V), v, w \in V).$$

$\widetilde{\Sigma}_V$ makes the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Bil}(V) \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\Sigma}_V"'] & \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, V^\vee) \arrow[r, "\Pi_{V,V^\vee}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{V,V}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_G(V, V^\vee) \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}_{V,V}"] & \cong & \mathrm{Bil}_G(V) \arrow[d, "\Sigma_V"] \\
\mathrm{Bil}(V) & \cong & \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, V^\vee) & \mathrm{Hom}_G(V, V^\vee) \arrow[l, "\text{inclusion}"'] & \cong & \mathrm{Bil}_G(V)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes; see the proof of Theorem 3.8 for details. Let $\rho : G \to GL(V)$ denote the action of G on V. By Lemma 2.15, we have

$$\nu(V) = \mathrm{Tr}(\widetilde{\Sigma}_V) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho(x)\rho(x)\right) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi_V(x^2),$$

where $\chi_V = \mathrm{Tr} \circ \rho : kG \to k$ is the character of V. The formula (1.1) for compact groups is obtained in a similar way; see §4 for details.

2.5 Twisted duality

We formulate the twisted FS indicator of Kawanaka and Matsuyama [18] in our settings. Their theory deals with a finite (or compact) group equipped with an involution, *i.e.*, an automorphism of order 1 or 2. To construct a framework to deal with the twisted FS indicator, we introduce the notion of an involution of a category with duality (Definition 2.17) and give a method to twist the original duality functor by using an involution. Our method can be thought as a generalization of the arguments in [19, §4].

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with duality. We first introduce a quite general method to twist the duality functor. Suppose that we are given an adjunction $(F, G, \eta, \varepsilon) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ and a natural transformation $\xi_X : F(X^\vee) \to G(X)^\vee$. Define ζ_X by

$$\zeta_X : G(X^\vee) \xrightarrow{j_{G(X^\vee)}} G(X^\vee)^{\vee\vee} \xrightarrow{\xi^\vee_{X^\vee}} F(X^{\vee\vee})^\vee \xrightarrow{F(j_X)^\vee} F(X)^\vee. \tag{2.18}$$

ζ_X is a natural transformation making the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X) \arrow[r, "F(j_X)"] \arrow[d, "j_{F(X)}"'] & F(X^{\vee\vee}) \arrow[d, "\xi_{X^\vee}"] & G(X) \arrow[r, "G(j_X)"] \arrow[d, "j_{G(X)}"'] & G(X^{\vee\vee}) \arrow[d, "\zeta_{X^\vee}"] \\
F(X)^{\vee\vee} \arrow[r, "\zeta^\vee_X"'] & G(X^\vee)^\vee, & G(X)^{\vee\vee} \arrow[r, "\xi^\vee_X"'] & F(X^\vee)^\vee.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.19}$$

Indeed, the commutativity of the first diagram is checked as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta^\vee_X \circ j_{F(X)} &= j^\vee_{G(X^\vee)} \circ \xi^{\vee\vee}_{X^\vee} \circ F(j_X)^{\vee\vee} \circ j_{F(X)} \\
&= j^\vee_{G(X^\vee)} \circ j_{G(X^\vee)^\vee} \circ \xi_{X^\vee} \circ F(j_X) = \xi_{X^\vee} \circ F(j_X).
\end{aligned}$$

The commutativity of the second one is checked in a similar way as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{X^\vee} \circ G(j_X) &= F(j_{X^\vee})^\vee \circ \xi^\vee_{X^{\vee\vee}} \circ j_{G(X^{\vee\vee})} \circ G(j_X) \\
&= F(j_{X^\vee})^\vee \circ \xi^\vee_{X^{\vee\vee}} \circ G(j_X)^{\vee\vee} \circ j_{G(X)} \\
&= F(j_{X^\vee})^\vee \circ F(j^\vee_X)^\vee \circ \xi^\vee_X \circ j_{G(X)} \\
&= \xi^\vee_X \circ j_{G(X)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we define the *twisted duality functor* by $X^\sharp = G(X^\vee)$. The problem is when $\mathcal{C}$ is a category with duality with this new duality functor $(-)^\sharp$.

Lemma 2.16. *Define* $\omega : \mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to (-)^\sharp \circ (-)^\sharp$ *by*

$$\omega_X : X \xrightarrow{\eta_X} GF(X) \xrightarrow{GF(j)} GF(X^{\vee\vee}) \xrightarrow{G(\xi_{X^\vee})} G(G(X^\vee)^\vee) = X^{\sharp\sharp}. \tag{2.20}$$

The triple $(\mathcal{C}, (-)^\sharp, \omega)$ *is a category with duality if*

$$\Big(FG(X^\vee) \xrightarrow{F(\zeta_X)} F(F(X)^\vee) \xrightarrow{\xi_{F(X)}} (GF(X))^\vee \xrightarrow{\eta^\vee_X} X^\vee \Big) = \varepsilon_{X^\vee} \tag{2.21}$$

holds for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. *If, moreover,* $\mathcal{C}$ *is strong, then the following are equivalent:*

(1) F is an equivalence.
(2) G is an equivalence.
(3) $(\mathcal{C}, (-)^\sharp, \omega)$ is strong.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$. By (2.18), ω_X can be expressed in two ways as follows:

$$\omega_X = G(\xi_{X^\vee} \circ F(j_X)) \circ \eta_X = G(\zeta_X \circ j_{F(X)}) \circ \eta_X.$$

For each $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_X^\sharp \circ \omega_{X^\sharp} &= G(\omega_X^\vee) \circ \omega_{G(X^\vee)} \\ &= G(\omega_X^\vee) \circ G\Big(\xi_{G(X^\vee)^\vee} \circ F(j_{G(X^\vee)})\Big) \circ \eta_{G(X^\vee)} \\ &= G\Big(\eta_X^\vee \circ G(\zeta_X^\vee \circ j_{F(X)})^\vee \circ \xi_{G(X^\vee)^\vee} \circ F(j_{G(X^\vee)})\Big) \circ \eta_{G(X^\vee)} \\ &= G\Big(\eta_X^\vee \circ \xi_{F(X)} \circ F(j_{F(X)}^\vee \circ j_{F(X)^\vee} \circ \zeta_X)\Big) \circ \eta_{G(X^\vee)} \\ &= G\Big(\eta_X^\vee \circ \xi_{F(X)} \circ F(\zeta_X)\Big) \circ \eta_{G(X^\vee)} \\ &= G(\varepsilon_{X^\vee}) \circ \eta_{G(X^\vee)} = \mathsf{id}_{G(X^\vee)} = \mathsf{id}_{X^\sharp}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the fourth equality follows from the naturality of ξ, the fifth from (2.4), the sixth from the assumption (2.21), and the seventh from (2.1). Now we have shown that the triple $(\mathcal{C}, (-)^\sharp, \omega_X)$ is a category with duality.

It is easy to prove the rest of the statement; (1) ⇔ (2) follows from basic properties of adjunctions. To show (2) ⇔ (3), recall Lemma 2.3. □

In view of Lemma 2.16, we call a quintuple $\mathbf{t} = (F, G, \eta, \varepsilon, \xi)$ satisfying (2.21) a *twisting adjunction* for $\mathcal{C}$. Given such a quintuple $\mathbf{t}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{t}}$ the triple $(\mathcal{C}, (-)^\sharp, \omega)$ constructed in Lemma 2.16.

Now we introduce an involution of a category with duality:

Definition 2.17. An *involution* of $\mathcal{C}$ is a triple $\mathbf{t} = (F, \xi, \eta)$ such that (F, ξ) is a strong duality preserving functor on $\mathcal{C}$ and η is an isomorphism

$$\eta : (\mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathsf{id}_{(-)^\vee}) \to (F, \xi) \circ (F, \xi) \tag{2.22}$$

of duality preserving functors satisfying

$$\eta_{F(X)} = F(\eta_X) \tag{2.23}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. We say that $\mathbf{t}$ is *strict* if ξ and η are identities.

Note that (2.22) is an isomorphism of such functors if and only if

$$\eta_X^\vee \circ \xi_{F(X)} \circ F(\xi_X) \circ \eta_{X^\vee} = \mathsf{id}_{X^\vee} \tag{2.24}$$

holds for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

An involution (F, ξ, η) of $\mathcal{C}$ is a special type of twisting adjunction; indeed, by (2.23), the quadruple (F, F, η, η^{-1}) is an adjunction. By the definition of duality preserving functors, the natural transformation (2.18) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_X &= F(j_X)^\vee \circ \xi_{X^\vee}^\vee \circ j_{F(X^\vee)} \\ &= F(j_X)^\vee \circ \xi_{X^{\vee\vee}} \circ F(j_{X^\vee}) = \xi_{X^{\vee\vee}} \circ F(j_X^\vee) \circ F(j_{X^\vee}) = \xi_X. \end{aligned}$$

Since the counit is η^{-1}, (2.21) is equivalent to (2.24). Hence $(F, F, \eta, \eta^{-1}, \xi)$ is a twisting adjunction for $\mathcal{C}$. From now, we identify an involution of $\mathcal{C}$ with the corresponding twisting adjunction.

Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a category with duality over k. Let $\mathbf{t} = (F, G, \dots)$ be a twisting adjunction for $\mathcal{C}$. $\mathbf{t}$ is said to be *k-linear* if the functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ is k-linear. If this is the case, G is also k-linear as the right adjoint of F (see, *e.g.*, [24, IV.1]) and hence $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{t}}$ is a category with duality over k.

Definition 2.18. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with duality over k, and let $\mathbf{t}$ be a k-linear twisting data for $\mathcal{C}$. The ($\mathbf{t}$-)*twisted FS indicator* $\nu^{\mathbf{t}}(X)$ of $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is defined by

$$\nu^{\mathbf{t}}(X) = \nu(X^{\mathbf{t}}),$$

where $X^{\mathbf{t}} \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{t}}$ is the object X regarded as an object of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{t}}$.

To study the twisted FS indicator, it is useful to introduce the twisted transposition map. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with duality (not necessarily over k). Given a twisting adjunction $\mathbf{t} = (F, G, \eta, \varepsilon, \xi)$ for $\mathcal{C}$, the ($\mathbf{t}$-)*twisted transposition map*

$$\mathsf{T}^{\mathbf{t}}_{X,Y} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(X), Y^\vee) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(Y), X^\vee) \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{C}) \tag{2.25}$$

is defined for $f : F(X) \to Y^\vee$ by

$$\mathsf{T}^{\mathbf{t}}_{X,Y}(f) : F(Y) \xrightarrow{F(f^\vee \circ j_Y)} F(F(X)^\vee) \xrightarrow{\xi_{F(X)}} (GF(X))^\vee \xrightarrow{\eta_X^\vee} X^\vee.$$

For a while, let $\mathsf{T}^\sharp_{X,Y} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y^\sharp) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X^\sharp)$ denote the transposition map for $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{t}}$. One can easily check that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(X), Y^\vee) \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}^{\mathbf{t}}_{X,Y}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, G(Y^\vee)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y^\sharp) \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}^\sharp_{X,Y}"] \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(Y), X^\vee) \arrow[r, "\cong"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, G(X^\vee)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X^\sharp) \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.26}$$

commutes for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, where the horizontal arrows are the natural bijection given by (2.2). Hence, under the condition of Definition 2.18, we have

$$\nu^{\mathbf{t}}(X) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}^\sharp_{X,X}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}^{\mathbf{t}}_{X,X}).$$

The properties of the twisted FS indicator can be obtained as follows: Apply previous results to $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{t}}$ and then interpret the results in terms of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ by using the commutative diagram (2.26). Following this scheme, a twisted version of Proposition 2.12 is established as follows:

Proposition 2.19. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian category with strong duality over k, let $X \in \mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathbf{t} = (F, G, \dots)$ be a k-linear twisting data for $\mathcal{C}$. Then:*

(a) *If X is a finite biproduct of simple objects, then $\nu^{\mathbf{t}}(F(X)) = \nu^{\mathbf{t}}(X^\vee)$.*

(b) *If X is absolutely simple, then $\nu^{\mathbf{t}}(X) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. $\nu^{\mathbf{t}}(X) \neq 0$ if and only if $F(X) \cong X^\vee$.*

Let G be a finite group such that $|G| \neq 0$ in k. We give two types of examples of twisting adjunctions of $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ in the following. The first one (Example 2.20) leads us to the twisted FS indicator of Kawanaka and Matsuyama [18]. The second one (Example 2.21) leads us to a very special case of the generalized FS indicator of Ng and Schauenburg [10].

Example 2.20. Let τ be an involution of G. Given $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ with action $\rho_V : G \to GL(V)$, we denote by $\tau(V)$ the representation of G given as follows: As an vector space, $\tau(V) = V$. The action of G on $\tau(V)$ is given by

$$G \xrightarrow{\ \tau\ } G \xrightarrow{\ \rho_V\ } GL(V) = GL(\tau(V)).$$

The assignment $V \mapsto \tau(V)$ gives rise to a strict involution τ of $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$. To interpret the τ-twisted FS indicator $\nu^\tau(V)$, we define $\mathrm{Bil}_{G,\tau}(V)$ to be the subspace of $\mathrm{Bil}(V)$ consisting of those elements b satisfying

$$b(\tau(g)v, gw) = b(v, w) \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } v, w \in V.$$

The τ-twisted transposition map induces

$$\Sigma_V^\tau : \mathrm{Bil}_{G,\tau}(V) \to \mathrm{Bil}_{G,\tau}, \quad \Sigma_V^\tau(b)(v, w) = b(w, v) \quad (b \in \mathrm{Bil}_{G,\tau}(V), v, w \in V)$$

via the isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau(V), V^\vee) \cong \mathrm{Bil}_{G,\tau}(V)$ given by the same expression as (2.14). Hence we have

$$\nu^\tau(V) = \dim_k \mathrm{Bil}^+_{G,\tau}(V) - \dim_k \mathrm{Bil}^-_{G,\tau}(V),$$

where $\mathrm{Bil}^\pm_{G,\tau}(V)$ is the eigenspace of Σ_V^τ with eigenvalue ± 1.

To express $\nu^\tau(V)$ by the character of V, we define $\widetilde{\Sigma}_V^\tau : \mathrm{Bil}(V) \to \mathrm{Bil}(V)$ by

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_V^\tau(b)(v, w) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} b(\tau(g)w, gv) \quad (b \in \mathrm{Bil}(V), v, w \in V).$$

$\widetilde{\Sigma}_V^\tau$ makes the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Bil}(V) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, V^\vee) \arrow[r, "\Pi_{\tau(V), V^\vee}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\Sigma}_V^\tau"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau(V), V^\vee) \arrow[r, phantom, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}^\tau_{V,V}"] & \mathrm{Bil}_{G,\tau}(V) \arrow[d, "\Sigma_V^\tau"] \\
\mathrm{Bil}(V) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, V^\vee) & \mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau(V), V^\vee) \arrow[l, hook', "\text{inclusion}"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\cong"] & \mathrm{Bil}_{G,\tau}(V)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.15, we have

$$\nu^\tau(V) = \mathrm{Tr}(\widetilde{\Sigma}_V^\tau) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(\tau(g)g).$$

Proposition 2.19 reads as follows: If $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ is absolutely simple, then $\nu^{\tau}(V) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ and the following are equivalent:

(1) $\nu^{\tau}(V) \neq 0$.

(2) $\tau(V) \cong V^{\vee}$.

(3) There exists a non-degenerate bilinear form $b : V \times V \to k$ satisfying

$$b(\tau(g)v, gw) = b(v, w) \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } v, w \in V.$$

If one of the above equivalent statements holds, then such a bilinear form b is unique up to scalar multiples and satisfy $b(w, v) = \nu^{\tau}(V) b(v, w)$ for all $v, w \in V$.

Example 2.21. Fix $L \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ with basis $\{\ell_i\}$ and let $\{\ell^i\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\ell_i\}$. For $X \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_X &: X \to L \otimes L^{\vee} \otimes X; \quad \eta_X(x) = \sum \ell_i \otimes \ell^i \otimes x, \\ \varepsilon_X &: L^{\vee} \otimes L \otimes X \to X; \quad \varepsilon_X(\alpha \otimes \ell \otimes x) = \langle \alpha, \ell \rangle x. \end{aligned}$$

We also define $\xi_X : L^{\vee} \otimes X^{\vee} \to (L \otimes X)^{\vee}$ by

$$\langle \xi_X(\alpha \otimes f), \ell \otimes x \rangle = \langle \alpha, \ell \rangle \langle f, x \rangle \quad (\alpha \in L^{\vee}, f \in X^{\vee}, \ell \in L, x \in X).$$

One can check that the quintuple $\mathbf{t}(L) = (L^{\vee} \otimes (-), L \otimes (-), \eta, \varepsilon, \xi)$ is a twisting adjunction of $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$.

The $\mathbf{t}(L)$-twisted FS indicator $\nu(V; L) := \nu^{\mathbf{t}(L)}(V)$ of $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ is interpreted as follows: We denote by $\mathrm{Bil}(V; L)$ the set of all bilinear maps $V \times V \to L$ and by $\mathrm{Bil}_G(V; L)$ its subspace consisting of those elements b satisfying

$$b(gv, gw) = g b(v, w) \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } v, w \in V.$$

Note that there are isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(L^{\vee} \otimes V, V^{\vee}) \cong \mathrm{Bil}(V; L) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Hom}_G(L^{\vee} \otimes V, V^{\vee}) \cong \mathrm{Bil}_G(V; L).$$

The $\mathbf{t}(L)$-transposition map $\mathrm{Hom}_k(L^{\vee} \otimes X, Y^{\vee}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_k(L^{\vee} \otimes Y, X^{\vee})$ induces

$$\Sigma_V^L : \mathrm{Bil}_G(V; L) \to \mathrm{Bil}_G(V; L), \quad \Sigma_V^L(b)(v, w) = b(w, v)$$

via the isomorphism $\mathrm{Bil}_G(V; L) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_G(L^{\vee} \otimes V, V^{\vee})$ and hence we have

$$\nu(V; L) = \dim_k \mathrm{Bil}_G^{+}(V; L) - \dim_k \mathrm{Bil}_G^{-}(V; L),$$

where $\mathrm{Bil}_{G,\tau}^{\pm}(V)$ is the eigenspace of Σ_V^L with eigenvalue ± 1.

Now we define $\widetilde{\Sigma}_V^L : \mathrm{Bil}(V; L) \to \mathrm{Bil}(V; L)$ by

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_V^L(b)(v, w) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g^{-1} b(gv, gw) \quad (b \in \mathrm{Bil}(V; L), v, w \in V).$$

$\widetilde{\Sigma}^L_V$ makes the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Bil}(V;L) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(L^\vee \otimes V, V^\vee) \arrow[r, "\Pi_{L^\vee \otimes V, V^\vee}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\Sigma}^L_V"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_G(L^\vee \otimes V, V^\vee) \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}^{\mathfrak{t}(L)}_{V,V}"] \cong \mathrm{Bil}_G(V;L) \arrow[d, "\Sigma^L_V"] \\
\mathrm{Bil}(V;L) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k(L^\vee \otimes V, V^\vee) & \mathrm{Hom}_G(L^\vee \otimes V, V^\vee) \arrow[l, "\text{inclusion}"'] \cong \mathrm{Bil}_G(V;L)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.15, we have

$$\nu(V;L) = \mathrm{Tr}(\widetilde{\Sigma}^L_V) = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}\chi_L(g^{-1})\chi_V(g^2).$$

Suppose that $\dim_k(L) = 1$. Then $L \otimes - : \mathrm{Rep}(G) \to \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ is an equivalence and hence we can apply Proposition 2.19. The proposition reads as follows: If $V \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ is absolutely simple, then $\nu(V;L) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. Moreover, the following are equivalent:

(1) $\nu(V;L) \neq 0$.

(2) $V \cong L \otimes V^\vee$.

(3) There exists a non-degenerate bilinear form $b : V \times V \to k$ satisfying

$$b(gv, gw) = \chi_L(g)b(v,w) \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } v, w \in V.$$

If one of the above equivalent statements holds, then such a bilinear form b is unique up to scalar multiples and satisfies $b(w,v) = \nu(V;L)b(v,w)$ for all $v, w \in V$.

3 Pivotal algebras

3.1 Pivotal algebras

Definition 3.1. A *pivotal algebra* is a triple (A, S, g) consisting of an algebra A, an anti-algebra map $S : A \to A$, and an invertible element $g \in A$ satisfying $S(g) = g^{-1}$ and $S^2(a) = gag^{-1}$ for all $a \in A$.

Let $A = (A, S, g)$ be a pivotal algebra. We denote by $\mathsf{mod}(A)$ the category of left A-modules and by $\mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A)$ its full subcategory of finite-dimensional modules. Given $V \in \mathsf{mod}(A)$, we can make its dual space $V^\vee$ into a left A-module by

$$\langle af, v\rangle := \langle f, S(a)v\rangle \quad (a \in A, f \in V^\vee, v \in V). \tag{3.1}$$

The assignment $V \mapsto V^\vee$ extends to a contravariant endofunctor on $\mathsf{mod}(A)$. Now, for each $V \in \mathsf{mod}(A)$, we define $j_V : V \to V^{\vee\vee}$ by

$$\langle j_V(v), f\rangle = \langle f, gv\rangle \quad (v \in V, f \in V^\vee). \tag{3.2}$$

The following computation shows that $j_V : V \to V^{\vee\vee}$ is A-linear:

$$\langle a \cdot j_V(v), f\rangle = \langle S(a)f, gv\rangle = \langle f, S^2(a)gv\rangle = \langle f, gav\rangle = \langle j_V(av), f\rangle.$$

It is obvious that j_V is natural in $V \in \mathsf{mod}(A)$. Now we verify (2.4) as follows:

$$\langle (j_V)^\vee j_{V^\vee}(f), v\rangle = \langle j_{V^\vee}(f), j_V(v)\rangle = \langle gf, gv\rangle = \langle f, S(g)gv\rangle = \langle f, v\rangle.$$

Note that j_V is an isomorphism if and only if $\dim_k V < \infty$. We conclude:

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be a pivotal algebra. Then $\mathsf{mod}(A) = (\mathsf{mod}(A), (-)^\vee, j)$ is an abelian category with duality over k. The full subcategory $\mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A)$ is an abelian category with strong duality over k.*

Let A and B be algebras. Given an algebra map $f : A \to B$, we can make each left B-module into a left A-module by defining $a \cdot v = f(a)v$ $(a \in A, v \in V)$. We denote by $f^\natural(V)$ the left A-module obtained in this way from V. The assignment $V \mapsto f^\natural(V)$ extends to a functor

$$f^\natural : \mathsf{mod}(B) \to \mathsf{mod}(A). \tag{3.3}$$

By restriction, we also obtain a functor

$$f^\natural|_{\mathsf{fd}} : \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(B) \to \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A). \tag{3.4}$$

It is easy to see that these functors are k-linear, exact and faithful. If, moreover, f is surjective, then they are full.

Suppose that $A = (A, S, g)$ and $B = (B, S', g')$ are pivotal algebras. A *morphism of pivotal algebras* from A to B is an algebra map $f : A \to B$ satisfying $f(g) = g'$ and $S'(f(a)) = f(S(a))$ for all $a \in A$. If f is such a morphism, then the functors (3.3) and (3.4) are strict duality preserving functors.

An *involution* of A is a morphism $\tau : A \to A$ of pivotal algebras such that $\tau^2 = \mathsf{id}_A$. Such a τ gives rise to a strict involution of $\mathsf{mod}(A)$, which is usually denoted by the same symbol τ. The proof of the following proposition is straightforward and omitted.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $A = (A, S, g)$ be a pivotal algebra, and let τ be an involution of A. Put $S^\tau = S \circ \tau$ $(= \tau \circ S)$. Then:*

(a) The triple $A^\tau = (A, S^\tau, g)$ is a pivotal algebra.

(b) $\mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{mod}(A)}$ is a strict duality preserving functor $\mathsf{mod}(A^\tau) \to \mathsf{mod}(A)^\tau$.

This implies that the τ-twisted FS indicator of $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A)$ is equal to the untwisted FS indicator of V regarded as a left A^τ-module. Thus, in principle, the theory of the τ-twisted FS indicator reduces to that of the untwisted FS indicator of A^τ, which is again a pivotal algebra.

3.2 FS indicator for pivotal algebras

Let $A = (A, S, g)$ be a pivotal algebra, and let $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A)$. Since $\mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A)$ is a category with duality over k satisfying (2.11), we can define $\nu(V)$ in the way of Section 2.

The FS indicator $\nu(V)$ is interpreted as follows: Let $\mathrm{Bil}(V)$ be the set of all bilinear forms on V. Recall that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$B_V : \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, V^\vee) \to \mathrm{Bil}(V), \quad B_V(f)(v, w) = \langle f(v), w\rangle. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $\mathrm{Bil}_A(V)$ be the subset of $\mathrm{Bil}(V)$ consisting of those $b \in \mathrm{Bil}(V)$ such that

$$b(av, w) = b(v, S(a)w) \quad (a \in A, v, w \in V). \tag{3.6}$$

The set $\mathrm{Bil}_A(V)$ is in fact the image of $\mathrm{Hom}_A(V, V^\vee) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, V^\vee)$ under the canonical isomorphism (3.5). Now we define $\Sigma_V : \mathrm{Bil}_A(V) \to \mathrm{Bil}_A(V)$ so that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Hom}_A(V, V^\vee) \arrow[r, "B_V"] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}_{V,V}"'] & \mathrm{Bil}_A(V) \arrow[d, "\Sigma_V"] \\
\mathrm{Hom}_A(V, V^\vee) \arrow[r, "B_V"'] & \mathrm{Bil}_A(V)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. If $b = B_V(f)$ for some $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, V^\vee)$, then we have

$$\Sigma_V(b)(v, w) = B_V(f^\vee j_V)(v, w) = \langle f^\vee j_V(v), w\rangle = \langle f(w), gv\rangle = b(w, gv) \tag{3.7}$$

for all $v, w \in V$. In view of (3.7), we set

$$\mathrm{Bil}_A^{\pm}(V) = \{b \in \mathrm{Bil}_A(V) \mid b(w, gv) = \pm b(v, w) \text{ for all } v, w \in V\}.$$

Then, as a counterpart of Proposition 2.9 (b), we have a formula

$$\nu(V) = \dim_k \mathrm{Bil}_A^{+}(V) - \dim_k \mathrm{Bil}_A^{-}(V). \tag{3.8}$$

Rephrasing the results of Section 2 by using these notations, we immediately obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *If $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A)$ is absolutely simple, then we have $\nu(V) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. Moreover, the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\nu(V) \neq 0$.

(2) V is isomorphic to $V^\vee$ as a left A-module.

(3) There exists a non-degenerate bilinear form b on V satisfying (3.6)*.*

If one of the above statements holds, then such a bilinear form b is unique up to scalar multiples and satisfies $b(w, gv) = \nu(V) \cdot b(v, w)$ for all $v, w \in V$.

We denote by R_A the left regular representation of A. If A is finite-dimensional, then the FS indicator of R_A is defined. In the case where $A = kG$ is the group algebra of a finite group G, there is a well-known formula $\nu(\mathsf{R}_{kG}) = \#\{x \in G \mid x^2 = 1\}$. This formula is generalized to finite-dimensional pivotal algebras as follows:

Theorem 3.5. *Let $A = (A, S, g)$ be a finite-dimensional pivotal algebra.*

(a) $\nu(\mathsf{R}_A) = \mathrm{Tr}(Q)$, where $Q : A \to A$, $Q(a) = S(a)g$.

(b) If A is Frobenius, then $\mathsf{R}_A \cong \mathsf{R}_A^\vee$ as left A-modules. Hence, $\nu(\mathsf{R}_A) = \nu(\mathsf{R}_A^\vee)$.

The part (b) is motivated by Remark 2.13; as we have mentioned, our definition of the FS indicator is different from that of [8]. Therefore, if, for example, A is a finite-dimensional pivotal Hopf algebra, then there are two definitions of the FS indicator of the regular representation of A. Nevertheless they are equal since a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra is Frobenius.

Proof. (a) Recall that there is an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathsf{R}_A, \mathsf{R}_A^\vee) \to \mathsf{R}_A^\vee$ given by $\Phi(f) = f(1)$. For $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathsf{R}_A, \mathsf{R}_A^\vee)$ and $a \in A$, we have

$$\langle \Phi \mathsf{T}_{A,A}(f), a \rangle = \langle (f^\vee j_V)(1), a \rangle = \langle j_V(1), f(a) \rangle = \langle f(a), g \rangle.$$

Recalling that $f : \mathsf{R}_A \to \mathsf{R}_A^\vee$ is A-linear, we compute

$$\langle f(a), g \rangle = \langle f(a \cdot 1), g \rangle = \langle a \cdot f(1), g \rangle = \langle \Phi(f), S(a) g \rangle, = \langle Q^\vee \Phi(f), a \rangle.$$

Hence we have $\mathsf{T}_{A,A} = \Phi^{-1} \circ Q^\vee \circ \Phi$ and therefore

$$\nu(A) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T}_{A,A}) = \mathrm{Tr}(Q^\vee) = \mathrm{Tr}(Q).$$

(b) Suppose that A is Frobenius. By definition, there exists $\phi \in A^\vee$ such that the bilinear map $A \times A \to k$, $(a, b) \mapsto \phi(ab)$ $(a, b \in A)$ is non-degenerate. By using ϕ, we define a linear map $f : \mathsf{R}_A \to \mathsf{R}_A^\vee$ by $\langle f(a), b \rangle = \phi(S(a)b)$ $(a, b \in A)$. It is obvious that f is bijective. For $a, b, c \in A$, we compute

$$\langle f(ab), c \rangle = \phi(S(ab)c) = \phi(S(b)S(a)c) = \langle f(b), S(a)c \rangle = \langle a \cdot f(b), c \rangle.$$

Thus f is A-linear and therefore $\mathsf{R}_A \cong \mathsf{R}_A^\vee$ as left A-modules. □

The following Theorem 3.6 is motivated by the *trace-like invariant* of Hopf algebras studied in [27] and [28]. Given $V \in \mathsf{mod}(A)$, we denote by $\rho_V : A \to \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ the algebra map induced by the action of A. Let $I_V := \mathrm{Ker}(\rho_V)$ denote the annihilator of V. By the definition of the dual module $V^\vee$, we have $I_{V^\vee} = S(I_V)$. Hence, if V is self-dual, then $\mathrm{Im}(\rho_V) \to \mathrm{Im}(\rho_V)$, $\rho_V(a) \mapsto \rho_V(S(a))$ $(a \in A)$ is well-defined. We also note that if $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A)$ is absolutely simple, then:

$$\text{The algebra map } \rho_V : A \to \mathrm{End}_k(V) \text{ is surjective.} \tag{3.9}$$

Theorem 3.6. *Let $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A)$ be an absolutely simple module. If V is self-dual, then, by the above arguments, the map*

$$S_V : \mathrm{End}_k(V) \to \mathrm{End}_k(V), \quad \rho_V(a) \mapsto \rho_V(S(a)) \quad (a \in A)$$

is well-defined. By using S_V, we also define

$$Q_V : \mathrm{End}_k(V) \to \mathrm{End}_k(V), \quad Q_V(f) = S_V(f) \circ \rho_V(g) \quad (f \in \mathrm{End}_k(V)).$$

Then we have:

(a) $\mathrm{Tr}(S_V) = \nu(V) \cdot \chi_V(g)$, (b) $\mathrm{Tr}(Q_V) = \nu(V) \cdot \dim_k(V)$.

Proof. (a) This can be proved in the same way as [14, Proposition 4.5]. Here we give another proof: Fix an isomorphism $p : V \to V^\vee$ of left A-modules and define $q : V \to V^\vee$ by $q = p^\vee \iota$, where $\iota : V \to V^{\vee\vee}$ is the canonical isomorphism given by $\langle \iota(v), f \rangle = \langle f, v \rangle$. Our first claim is

$$S_V(f) = q^{-1} f^\vee q \quad (f \in \mathrm{End}_k(V)).$$

Indeed, if $f = \rho_V(a)$ for some $a \in A$, we compute

$$f^\vee q = (p\rho_V(a))^\vee \iota = (\rho_V(Sa)^\vee p)^\vee \iota = p^\vee \rho_V(Sa)^{\vee\vee} \iota = q\rho_V(Sa) = qS_V(f).$$

Next, we determine the map $V \otimes V^\vee \to V \otimes V^\vee$ induced by S_V via

$$V \otimes V^\vee \to \mathrm{End}_k(V), \quad v \otimes \lambda \mapsto (x \mapsto \lambda(x)v) \quad (\lambda \in V^\vee, v, x \in V).$$

If $f \in \mathrm{End}_k(V)$ is the element corresponding to $v \otimes \lambda \in V \otimes V^\vee$, then we have

$$\langle f^\vee q(x), y \rangle = \langle p^\vee \iota(x), f(y) \rangle = \langle p(v), x \rangle \, \lambda(y) \quad (x, y \in V).$$

and therefore $S_V(f)(x) = \langle p(v), x \rangle q^{-1}(\lambda)$. This means that $S_V(f)$ corresponds to the element $q^{-1}(\lambda) \otimes p(v) \in V \otimes V^\vee$ via the above isomorphism.

By the above observation, we have that the trace of S_V is equal to that of

$$V \otimes V^\vee \to V \otimes V^\vee, \quad v \otimes \lambda \mapsto q^{-1}(\lambda) \otimes p(v) \quad (v \in V, \lambda \in V^\vee).$$

Applying Lemma 2.14, we have $\mathrm{Tr}(S_V) = \mathrm{Tr}(q^{-1}p)$. Now we recall the definition of the transposition map and compute

$$q = p^\vee \iota = p^\vee j_V \rho_V(g)^{-1} = \mathsf{T}_{V,V}(p) \rho_V(g)^{-1} = \nu(V) \cdot p \, \rho_V(g)^{-1}.$$

Hence, we conclude $\mathrm{Tr}(S_V) = \mathrm{Tr}(q^{-1}p) = \nu(V) \, \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_V(g)) = \nu(V)\chi_V(g)$.

(b) The triple $E = (\mathrm{End}_k(V), S_V, \rho_V(g))$ is a pivotal algebra and $\rho_V : A \to E$ is a morphism of pivotal algebras. Let V_0 denote the vector space V regarded as a left E-module. By Proposition 2.10, the functor $\rho_V^\natural : \mathsf{mod}(E) \to \mathsf{mod}(A)$ preserves the FS indicator. Since $V = \rho_V^\natural(V_0)$, we have $\nu(V_0) = \nu(V)$.

Now let $d = \dim_k(V)$. Then we have $\mathsf{R}_E \cong V_0^{\oplus d}$ as left E-modules and therefore $\nu(E) = \nu(V_0)d = \nu(V)d$ by Proposition 2.9. On the other hand, $\nu(E) = \mathrm{Tr}(Q_V)$ by Proposition 3.5. Thus $\mathrm{Tr}(Q_V) = \nu(V)d$ follows. ☐

The following a generalization of [2, Theorem 8.8 (iii)].

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that k is algebraically closed and that $A = (A, S, g)$ is a finite-dimensional semisimple pivotal algebra. Let $\{V_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ be a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple left A-modules. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu(V_i)\chi_i(g),$$

where $\chi_i = \chi_{V_i}$ is the character of V_i.

Proof. Put $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$. For $i \in I$, let $\rho_i : A \to \mathrm{End}_k(V_i)$ denote the action of A on V_i. By the Artin-Wedderburn theorem, we have an isomorphism

$$A \to \mathrm{End}_k(V_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{End}_k(V_n), \quad a \mapsto (\rho_1(a), \dots, \rho_n(a))$$

of algebras. $S : A \to A$ induces an anti-algebra map

$$\tilde{S} : \mathrm{End}_k(V_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{End}_k(V_n) \to \mathrm{End}_k(V_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{End}_k(V_n).$$

via the isomorphism. For each $i \in I$, we have $\tilde{S}(\mathrm{End}_k(V_i)) \subset \mathrm{End}_k(V_{i^*})$, where $i^* \in I$ is the element such that $V_i^\vee \cong V_{i^*}$. Hence we obtain

$$\mathrm{Tr}(S) = \mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{S}) = \sum_{i \in I, i^* = i} \mathrm{Tr}(\widetilde{S}|_{\mathrm{End}_k(V_i)}) = \sum_{i \in I, i^* = i} \nu(V_i)\chi_i(g)$$

by Theorem 3.6. The sum in the right-hand side is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu(V_i)\chi_i(g)$ since $\nu(V_i) = 0$ unless $i = i^*$. The proof is done. □

3.3 Separable pivotal algebras

Recall that an algebra A is said to be *separable* if it has a *separability idempotent*, *i.e.*, an element $E \in A \otimes A$ such that $E^1 E^2 = 1$ and $aE^1 \otimes E^2 = E^1 \otimes E^2 a$ for all $a \in A$. If such an element exists, then the forgetful functor $\mathsf{mod}(A) \to \mathsf{Vec}_{\mathsf{fd}}$ is separable with section $\Pi_{V,W} : \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, W)$ $(V, W \in \mathsf{mod}(A))$ given by

$$\Pi_{V,W}(f)(v) = E^1 f(E^2 v) \quad (f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W)).$$

Hence, if a pivotal algebra $A = (A, S, g)$ is separable (as an algebra), then we can apply the arguments of §2.4. This is a rationale for the following theorem:

Theorem 3.8. *Let $A = (A, S, g)$ be a separable pivotal algebra with separability idempotent $E \in A \otimes A$. Then, for all $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A)$, we have*

$$\nu(V) = \chi_V(S(E^1) g E^2).$$

Proof. Define $\widetilde{\Sigma}_V : \mathrm{Bil}(V) \to \mathrm{Bil}(V)$ so that the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Bil}(V) \arrow[r, "B_V^{-1}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\Sigma}_V"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, V^\vee) \arrow[r, "\Pi_{V,V^\vee}"] \arrow[d, "\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{V,V}"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, V^\vee) \arrow[r, "B_V"] \arrow[d, "\mathsf{T}_{V,V}"] & \mathrm{Bil}_A(V) \arrow[d, "\Sigma_V"] \\
\mathrm{Bil}(V) & \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, V^\vee) \arrow[l, "B_V"] & \mathrm{Hom}_A(V, V^\vee) \arrow[l, "\text{inclusion}"] & \mathrm{Bil}_A(V). \arrow[l, "B_V^{-1}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

By the arguments in §2.4, $\nu(V)$ is equal to $\mathrm{Tr}(\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{V,V})$. However, to make the computation easier, we prefer to compute $\mathrm{Tr}(\widetilde{\Sigma}_V)$, which is also equal to $\nu(V)$.

Let $\Pi'_V : \mathrm{Bil}(V) \to \mathrm{Bil}_A(V)$ be the composition of the arrows of the first row of the above diagram. If $b = B_V(f)$ for some $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, V^\vee)$, we have

$$\Pi'_V(b)(v, w) = \Big\langle \Pi_{V,V^\vee}(f)(v), w \Big\rangle = \Big\langle f(E^2 v), S(E^1) w \Big\rangle = b\Big(E^2 v, S(E^1) w\Big).$$

Hence, by (3.7), we have

$$\widetilde{\Sigma}_V(b)(v,w) = \Sigma_V\Big(\Pi'_V(b)\Big)(v,w) = b\Big(E^2 w, S(E^1)gv\Big).$$

Applying Lemma 2.15, we obtain $\nu(V) = \chi_V(S(E^1)gE^2)$. □

Example 3.9 (Group-like algebras). As a generalization of the group algebra of a finite group, Doi ([29], [25]) introduced a *group-like algebra*. It is defined to be a quadruple $(A, \varepsilon, \mathcal{B}, *)$ consisting of an algebra A, an algebra map $\varepsilon : A \to k$, a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i\}_{i \in I}$ of A indexed by a set I, and an involutive map $* : I \to I$, $i \mapsto i^*$ satisfying the following conditions:

(G0) There is a special element $0 \in I$ such that $b_0 = 1$ is the unit of A.

(G1) $\varepsilon(b_i) = \varepsilon(b_{i^*}) \neq 0$ for all $i \in I$.

(G2) $p_{ij}^k = p_{j^* i^*}^{k^*}$ for all $i, j, k \in I$, where p_{ij}^k is the structure coefficients of A with respect to $\{b_i\}$ defined by $b_i \cdot b_j = \sum_{k \in I} p_{ij}^k b_k$ $(i, j \in I)$.

(G3) $p_{ij}^0 = \delta_{ij^*}\varepsilon(b_i)$ for all $i \in I$.

The group algebra of a finite group is an example. Another important example is the Bose-Mesner algebra of an association scheme.

Let $A = (A, \varepsilon, \mathcal{B}, *)$ be a group-like algebra. Define a linear map $S : A \to A$ by $S(b_i) = b_{i^*}$ $(i \in I)$. By (G2), S is an anti-algebra map. Since the map $* : I \to I$ is involutive, the triple $(A, S, 1)$ is a pivotal algebra.

In what follows, we assume that the base field is $\mathbb{C}$ and $\varepsilon(b_i) > 0$ for all $i \in I$. Then, by results of Doi [25], we have that $v = \sum_{i \in I} \varepsilon(b_i)^{-1} b_{i^*} b_i \in A$ is a central invertible element and

$$E = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{\varepsilon(b_i)} v^{-1} b_{i^*} \otimes b_i \in A \otimes A$$

is a separability idempotent of A. Applying Theorem 3.8 to $A = (A, S, 1)$, we obtain a formula

$$\nu(V) = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{\varepsilon(b_i)} \chi_V\Big(v^{-1} b_i^2\Big) \quad (V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A)).$$

If V is simple, then v acts on V as $\chi_V(v)\chi_V(1)^{-1}\mathsf{id}_V$. Hence we have

$$\nu(V) = \frac{\chi_V(1)}{\chi_V(v)} \sum_{i \in I} \frac{1}{\varepsilon(b_i)} \chi_V(b_i^2).$$

Applying this formula to the Bose-Mesner algebra of an association scheme, we recover the formula of Hanaki and Terada [20].

Example 3.10 (Weak Hopf C^*-algebras). We assume that the base field is $\mathbb{C}$. A *weak Hopf algebra* is an algebra H which is a coalgebra at the same time such that there exists a special map $S : H \to H$ called the antipode; see [30] and [31] for the precise definition. We note that the antipode of a weak Hopf algebra is known to be an anti-algebra map.

Let H be a finite-dimensional weak Hopf C^*-algebra; see [30, §4] for the precise definition. There exists an element $g \in H$, called the *canonical grouplike element* [30, §4], satisfying $S(g) = g^{-1}$, $S^2(x) = gxg^{-1}$ for all $x \in H$, and some other good properties. In particular, the triple (H, S, g) is a pivotal algebra and therefore the FS indicator $\nu(V)$ is defined for each $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H)$.

We can express $\nu(V)$ by using the *Haar integral* [30, §3]; if $\Lambda \in H$ is the Haar integral in H, then $E = S(\Lambda_{(1)}) \otimes \Lambda_{(2)}$ is a separability idempotent of H (*cf.* the proof of Theorem 3.13 of [30]). Applying Theorem 3.8, we have

$$\nu(V) = \chi_V(S^2(\Lambda_{(1)})g\Lambda_{(2)}) = \chi_V(g\Lambda_{(1)}\Lambda_{(2)}). \tag{3.10}$$

The formula of Linchenko and Montgomery [1] is the case where H is an ordinary Hopf algebra (then $S^2 = \mathsf{id}_H$ by the theorem of Larson and Radford [32]).

Remark 3.11. We do not know whether our formula (3.10) is equivalent to [5, (4.3)] or [31, (3.70)]. In [2,5,31], formulas are proved by finding a central element e such that $\nu(V) = \chi_V(e)$ for all V. On the other hand, the element $S(E^1)gE^2$ of our Theorem 3.8 is not central in general. In the following subsection, we give a formula of the FS indicator for quasi-Hopf algebras and its twisted version. For the above reason, it is not straightforward to derive the formula of Mason and Ng [2] from our formula.

Remark 3.12. Takahiro Hayashi (in private communication to the author) proved (3.10) and analogous formulas of the higher FS indicators for weak Hopf algebras in the case where $S^2 = \mathsf{id}_H$.

3.4 Quasi-Hopf algebras

We derive a formula of Mason and Ng [2] and its twisted version from our results.

Recall that a *quasi-Hopf algebra* [33] is a data $H = (H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \Phi, S, \alpha, \beta)$ consisting of an algebra H, algebra maps $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$ and $\varepsilon : H \to k$, an anti-algebra automorphism $S : H \to H$, elements $\alpha, \beta \in H$ and an invertible element $\Phi \in H^{\otimes 3}$ with inverse $\overline{\Phi}$ satisfying numerous conditions. Let $H_i = (H_i, \Delta_i, \varepsilon_i, \Phi_i, S_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i)$ be quasi-Hopf algebras ($i = 1, 2$). A *morphism of quasi-Hopf algebras* from H_1 to H_2 is an algebra map $f : H_1 \to H_2$ satisfying

$$\Delta_2 f = (f \otimes f)\Delta_1, \quad \varepsilon_2 f = \varepsilon_1, \quad \Phi_2 = (f \otimes f \otimes f)(\Phi_1)$$
$$S_2 f = f S_1, \quad \alpha_2 = f(\alpha_1), \quad \beta_2 = f(\beta_2).$$

Hence, by an *involution* of a quasi-Hopf algebra H, we shall mean a morphism $\tau : H \to H$ of quasi-Hopf algebras such that $\tau^2 = \mathsf{id}_H$.

If H is a quasi-Hopf algebra, then $\mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H)$ is a rigid monoidal category. Given $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H)$, we denote by $e_V : V^\vee \otimes V \to k$ and $c_V : k \to V \otimes V^\vee$ the evaluation and the coevaluation, respectively. Here we need to recall that the dual module of V is defined by the same way as (3.1) and the maps e_V and c_V are given by

$$e_V(\lambda \otimes v) = \langle \lambda, \alpha v \rangle \quad (\lambda \in V^\vee, v \in V); \quad c_V(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta v_i \otimes v^i,$$

where $\{v_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ is a basis of V and $\{v^i\}$ is the dual basis.

We need additional assumptions on H so that H is a pivotal algebra. In what follows, we suppose that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and H is a finite-dimensional semisimple quasi-Hopf algebra. Then $\mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H)$ is a fusion category [34] such that each its object has an integral Frobenius-Perron dimension. Therefore, by the results of [34], $\mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H)$ has a *canonical pivotal structure*, *i.e.*, an isomorphism $j : \mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H)} \to (-)^{\vee\vee}$ of k-linear monoidal functors such that, for all $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H)$, the composition

$$k \xrightarrow{c_V} V \otimes V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{j_V \otimes \mathsf{id}_{V^\vee}} V^{\vee\vee} \otimes V^{\vee} \xrightarrow{e_{V^\vee}} k \tag{3.11}$$

maps $1 \in k$ to $\dim_k(V) \in k$. Now let $g \in H$ be the image of $1 \in H$ under

$$H \xrightarrow{j_H} H^{\vee\vee} \xrightarrow{\iota_H^{-1}} H,$$

where ι_H is the canonical isomorphism of vector spaces. We call g the *canonical pivotal element* of H. By definition, g is invertible and satisfies $S(g)g = 1$ and $S^2(h) = gag^{-1}$ for all $a \in H$; see [2] and [3] for details. Hence (H, S, g) is a pivotal algebra. Now we remark:

Lemma 3.13. *Let $f : H_1 \to H_2$ be an isomorphism between finite-dimensional semisimple quasi-Hopf algebras. Then we have $f(g_1) = g_2$, where $g_i \in H_i$ is the canonical pivotal element of H_i.*

Proof. f induces a functor $f^\natural : \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H_1) \to \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H_2)$. By the definition of morphisms of quasi-Hopf algebras, the functor $f^\natural$ is a k-linear strict monoidal equivalence. The result follows from the fact that such a functor preserves the canonical pivotal structure [8, Corollary 6.2]. □

From this lemma, we see that an involution τ of the quasi-Hopf algebra H is an involution of the pivotal algebra (H, S, g). As we have observed in §3.2, τ gives rise to an involution of $\mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(A)$ and hence the τ-twisted FS indicator $\nu^\tau(V)$ is defined for $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H)$. By Theorem 3.4 applied to $A = (H, S \circ \tau, g)$, we have the following property of ν^τ:

Theorem 3.14. *Let $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H)$ be a simple module. Then $\nu^\tau(V) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ and the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $\nu^\tau(V) \neq 0$.

(2) $\tau^\natural(V)$ is isomorphic to the dual module $V^\vee$ as a H-module.

(3) There exists a non-degenerate bilinear form b on V satisfying

$$b(\tau(h)v, w) = b(v, S(h)w) \quad \textit{for all } v, w \in V.$$

If one of the above statements holds, then such a bilinear form b is unique up to scalar multiples and satisfies $b(w, gv) = \nu^\tau(V) \cdot b(v, w)$ for all $v, w \in V$.

Next we express the number $\nu^\tau(V)$ by using the character of V. To that end, it is sufficient to find a separability idempotent of H. Let $\Lambda \in H$ be the

Haar integral of H (see [35] and [36]). We set

$$p_L = \Phi^2 S^{-1}(\Phi^1\beta) \otimes \Phi^3, \qquad q_L = S(\overline{\Phi}^1)\alpha\overline{\Phi}^2 \otimes \overline{\Phi}^3,$$
$$p_R = \overline{\Phi}^1 \otimes \overline{\Phi}^2\beta S(\overline{\Phi}^1), \qquad q_R = \Phi^1 \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha\Phi^3)\Phi^2$$

and fix $p \in \{p_L, p_R\}$ and $q \in \{q_L, q_R\}$. Following [2, Lemma 3.1], we have

$$\Lambda_{(1)}p^1 a \otimes \Lambda_{(2)}p^2 = \Lambda_{(1)}p^1 \otimes \Lambda_{(2)}p^2 S(a), \tag{3.12}$$
$$S(a)q^1\Lambda_{(1)} \otimes q^2\Lambda_{(2)} = q^1\Lambda_{(1)} \otimes aq^2\Lambda_{(2)} \tag{3.13}$$

for all $a \in A$. From these identities, we see that both $S(\Lambda_{(1)}p^1) \otimes \alpha\Lambda_{(2)}p^2$ and $q^1\Lambda_{(1)}\beta \otimes S(q^2\Lambda_{(2)})$ are separability idempotents. Applying Theorem 3.8 to $(H, S\tau, g)$, we have

$$\nu^\tau(V) = \chi_V\Big(S\tau S(\Lambda_{(1)}p^1)g\alpha\Lambda_{(2)}p^2\Big) = \chi_V\Big(S\tau(q^1\Lambda_{(1)}\beta)gS(q^2\Lambda_{(2)})\Big)$$

for all $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H)$. Hence, by using the former expression, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^\tau(V) &= \chi_V(S^2(\tau(\Lambda_{(1)}p^1))g \cdot \alpha\Lambda_{(2)}p^2) = \chi_V(g \cdot \tau(\Lambda_{(1)}p^1) \cdot \alpha\Lambda_{(2)}p^2) \\ &= \chi_V(g \cdot \tau(\Lambda_{(1)}p^1\tau(\alpha)) \cdot \Lambda_{(2)}p^2) \overset{(3.12)}{=} \chi_V(g \cdot \tau(\Lambda_{(1)}p^1)\Lambda_{(2)}p^2 \cdot S\tau(\alpha))) \\ &= \chi_V(S\tau(\alpha)g \cdot \tau(\Lambda_{(1)}p^1)\Lambda_{(2)}p^2).\end{aligned}$$

Note that the formula of Mason and Ng in [2] does not involve g. To exclude g from the above formula of $\nu^\tau(V)$, we require:

Lemma 3.15. *Fix $p \in \{p_L, p_R\}$ and $q \in \{q_L, q_R\}$. Then we have*

$$g^{-1}S(\beta) = S(\Lambda_{(1)}p^1)\Lambda_{(2)}p^2, \quad S(\alpha)g = S(q^2\Lambda_{(2)})q^1\Lambda_{(1)}. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. The first identity is proved in [3] (where our g is appeared as g^{-1}) and the second can be proved in a similar way. For the sake of completeness, we give a detailed proof of the second identity.

Let V be a simple H-module and set $c = c_V(1)$. The map

$$e_q : V \otimes V^\vee \to k, \quad e_2(v \otimes f) = \langle q^2\Lambda_{(2)}f, q^1\Lambda_{(1)}v\rangle \quad (v \in V, f \in V^\vee)$$

is an H-linear map such that $e_q(c) = \dim_k(V)$. On the other hand, by the definition of the canonical pivotal structure, we see that

$$e_0 : V \otimes V^\vee \xrightarrow{j_V \otimes \mathsf{id}_{V^\vee}} V^{\vee\vee} \otimes V^\vee \xrightarrow{e_V} k$$

has the same property. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_H(V \otimes V^\vee, k) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_H(V, V) \cong k$, we have $e_0 = e_q$. This implies $\langle f, S(\alpha)gv\rangle = \langle f, S(q^2\Lambda_{(2)})t^1\Lambda_{(1)}v\rangle$ for all $f \in V^\vee$ and $v \in V$. In conclusion, $S(\alpha)g = S(q^2\Lambda_{(2)})q^1\Lambda_{(1)}$ holds on each simple module V. Since H is semisimple, the identity holds in H. □

By Lemmas 3.13 and 3.15, we have $S\tau(\alpha)g = \tau(S(\alpha)g) = S(\tau(q^2\Lambda'_{(2)})) \cdot \tau(q^1\Lambda'_{(1)})$, where $\Lambda' = \Lambda$ is a copy of Λ. The computation is continued as

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^\tau(V) &= \chi_V(S(\tau(q^2\Lambda'_{(2)})) \cdot \tau(q^1\Lambda'_{(1)}) \cdot \tau(\Lambda_{(1)}p^1)\Lambda_{(2)}p^2) \\ &= \chi_V(\tau(q^1\Lambda'_{(1)}\Lambda_{(1)}p^1) \cdot \Lambda_{(2)}p^2 S(\tau(q^2\Lambda'_{(2)}))) \\ &= \chi_V(\tau(q^1\Lambda'_{(1)}\Lambda_{(1)}p^1\tau(q^2\Lambda'_{(2)})) \cdot \Lambda_{(2)}p^2) = \chi_V(\tau(q^1\Lambda'_{(1)}\Lambda_{(1)}p^1)q^2\Lambda'_{(2)}\Lambda_{(2)}p^2).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$ is an algebra map, we have $\Lambda'_{(1)}\Lambda_{(1)} \otimes \Lambda'_{(2)}\Lambda_{(2)} = \Delta(\Lambda'\Lambda) = \varepsilon(\Lambda')\Delta(\Lambda) = \Delta(\Lambda)$. Hence, we finally obtain

$$\nu^\tau(V) = \chi_V\Big(\tau(q^1\Lambda_{(1)}p^1)q^2\Lambda_{(2)}p^2\Big).$$

Letting $\tau = \mathsf{id}_H$, we recover the results of Mason and Ng [2]. Assuming H to be a Hopf algebra, we recover the results of Sage and Vega [19].

4 Coalgebras

4.1 Copivotal coalgebras

In this section, we introduce the dual notion of pivotal algebras and study the Frobenius-Schur theory for them. For reader's convenience, we briefly recall some basic results on coalgebras.

Given a coalgebra C, we denote by $\mathsf{com}(C)$ the category of *right* C-comodules and by $\mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$ its full subcategory of finite-dimensional comodules. We express the coaction of $V \in \mathsf{com}(C)$ as

$$\rho_V : V \to V \otimes C, \quad v \mapsto v_{(0)} \otimes v_{(1)} \quad (v \in V).$$

The *convolution product* of $\lambda, \mu \in C^\vee$ is defined by $\langle \lambda \star \mu, c\rangle = \langle \lambda, c_{(1)}\rangle\langle \mu, c_{(2)}\rangle$ for all $c \in C$. $C^\vee$ is an algebra, called the *dual algebra*, with multiplication $\star$ and unit ε. The algebra $C^\vee$ acts from the left on each $V \in \mathsf{com}(C)$ by $\rightharpoonup : C^\vee \otimes V \to V$, $\lambda \rightharpoonup v = v_{(0)}\langle \lambda, v_{(1)}\rangle$ ($\lambda \in C^\vee, v \in V$). This defines a k-linear fully faithful functors $\mathsf{com}(C) \to \mathsf{mod}(C^\vee)$ and

$$\mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C) \to \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C^\vee), \tag{4.1}$$

which are not equivalences in general. If C is finite-dimensional, then these functors are isomorphisms of categories. See, *e.g.*, [37] for details.

Fix a basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ of $V \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$. Then we can define c_{ij} by $\rho_V(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \otimes c_{ij}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). The matrix (c_{ij}) is called the *matrix corepresentation* of V with respect to the basis $\{v_i\}$. By the definition of comodules, we have

$$\Delta(c_{ij}) = \sum_{s=1}^n c_{is} \otimes c_{sj}, \quad \varepsilon(c_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} \tag{4.2}$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Hence $C_V = \mathrm{span}_k\{c_{ij} \mid i, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a subcoalgebra of C. We call C_V the *coefficient subcoalgebra* of C. C_V has a special element $t_V = \sum_i c_{ii}$, called the *character* of V. If we regard V as a left $C^\vee$-module via (4.1) and denote its character by χ_V, then we have

$$\chi_V(\lambda) = \langle \lambda, c_{11} \rangle + \cdots + \langle \lambda, c_{nn} \rangle = \lambda(t_V) \tag{4.3}$$

for all $\lambda \in C^\vee$.

Now let V be a finite-dimensional vector space with basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ and let $\{v^i\}$ denote the dual basis. Then $\mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{c}}(V) = V^\vee \otimes V$ has a basis $e_{ij} = v^i \otimes v_j$ $(i, j = 1, \dots, n)$ and turns into a coalgebra with $\Delta(e_{ij}) = \sum_{s=1}^n e_{is} \otimes e_{sj}$, $\varepsilon(e_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$. $\mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{c}}(V)$ coacts on V from the right by

$$V \to V \otimes \mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{c}}(V), \quad v_j \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n v_i \otimes e_{ij} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Suppose that C coacts on V. Let (c_{ij}) be the matrix corepresentation of V with respect to $\{v_i\}$. By (4.2), the linear map $\phi : \mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{c}}(V) \to C$, $\phi(e_{ij}) = c_{ij}$ is a coalgebra map. Conversely, if a coalgebra map $\phi : \mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{c}}(V) \to C$ is given, V is a right C-comodule by

$$\rho : V \to V \otimes C, \quad v_j \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \otimes \phi(e_{ij}) \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

These constructions give a bijection between the set of linear maps $\rho : V \to V \otimes C$ making V into a right C-comodule and the set of coalgebra maps $\phi : \mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{c}}(V) \to C$.

Suppose that $V \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$ is absolutely simple. As the dual of (3.9), we have that the corresponding coalgebra map $\phi : \mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{c}}(V) \to C$ is injective. Let (c_{ij}) be the matrix corepresentation of V with respect to some basis of V. The injectivity of ϕ implies that the set $\{c_{ij}\}$ is linearly independent.

Now we introduce *copivotal coalgebras* as the dual notion of pivotal algebras:

Definition 4.1. A *copivotal coalgebra* is a triple (C, S, γ) consisting of a coalgebra C, an anti-coalgebra map $S : C \to C$ and a linear map $\gamma : C \to k$ satisfying

$$S^2(c) = \langle \gamma, c_{(1)} \rangle c_{(2)} \langle \overline{\gamma}, c_{(3)} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\gamma} = \gamma \circ S$$

for all $c \in C$, where $\overline{\gamma} : C \to k$ is the inverse of γ with respect to $\star$.

Let $C = (C, S, \gamma)$ is a copivotal coalgebra. If $V \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$, then we can make $V^\vee$ into a right C-comodule as follows: First fix a basis $\{v_i\}$ of V and let (c_{ij}) be the matrix corepresentation of V with respect to the basis $\{v_i\}$. Then we define the coaction of C on $V^\vee$ by

$$\rho_{V^\vee} : V^\vee \to V^\vee \otimes C, \quad \rho_{V^\vee}(v^j) = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \otimes S(c_{ji}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \tag{4.4}$$

where $\{v^i\}$ is the dual basis of $\{v_i\}$. This coaction does not depend on the choice of the basis and has the following characterization, which is rather useful than the above explicit formula:

$$\langle f_{(0)}, v\rangle f_{(1)} = \langle f, v_{(0)}\rangle S(v_{(1)}) \quad (f \in V^\vee, v \in V).$$

For each $V \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$, we define $j_V : V \to V^{\vee\vee}$ by

$$\langle j_V(v), f\rangle = \langle f, \gamma \rightharpoonup v\rangle \quad (= \langle f, v_{(0)}\rangle\langle \gamma, v_{(1)}\rangle) \quad (f \in V^\vee, v \in V).$$

In a similar way as Proposition 3.2, we prove:

Proposition 4.2. $\mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$ *is a category with strong duality over* k.

The triple $C^\vee = (C^\vee, S^\vee, \gamma)$ is a pivotal algebra, which we call the *dual pivotal algebra* of C. Let $V \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$. For all $\lambda \in C^\vee$, $f \in V^\vee$ and $v \in V$, we have

$$\langle \lambda \rightharpoonup f, v\rangle = \langle f_{(0)}, v\rangle\langle \lambda, f_{(1)}\rangle = \langle f, v_{(0)}\rangle\langle \lambda, S(v_{(1)})\rangle = \langle f, S^\vee(\lambda) \rightharpoonup v\rangle.$$

This implies that the functor (4.1) is in fact a strict duality preserving functor. In what follows, we often regard $\mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$ as a full subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C^\vee)$.

4.2 FS indicator for copivotal coalgebras

Let $C = (C, S, \gamma)$ be a copivotal coalgebra, and let $V \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(V)$. We denote by $\mathrm{Bil}(V)$ the set of all bilinear forms on V and by $\mathrm{Bil}_C(V)$ its subset consisting of those $b \in \mathrm{Bil}(V)$ satisfying

$$b(v_{(0)}, w)v_{(1)} = b(v, w_{(0)})S(w_{(1)}) \quad \text{for all } v, w \in V. \tag{4.5}$$

$\mathrm{Bil}_C(V)$ is the image of $\mathrm{Hom}_C(V, V^\vee) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, V^\vee)$ under the canonical isomorphism (3.5). Define $\Sigma_V : \mathrm{Bil}_C(V) \to \mathrm{Bil}_C(V)$ in the same way as before. Then, for all $b \in \mathrm{Bil}_C(V), v, w \in V$, we have

$$\Sigma_V(b)(v, w) = b(w, \gamma \rightharpoonup v).$$

Now let $\mathrm{Bil}_C^\pm(V)$ be the eigenspace of Σ_V with eigenvalue ± 1:

$$\mathrm{Bil}_C^\pm(V) = \{b \in \mathrm{Bil}_C(V) \mid b(w, \gamma \rightharpoonup v) = \pm b(v, w) \text{ for all } v, w \in V\}.$$

Then we have $\nu(V) = \dim_k \mathrm{Bil}_C^+(V) - \dim_k \mathrm{Bil}_C^-(V)$ as a counterpart of Proposition 2.9 (b). Now we immediately obtain the following coalgebraic version of Theorem 3.4:

Theorem 4.3. *If* $V \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$ *is absolutely simple, then we have* $\nu(V) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. *Moreover, the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\nu(V) \neq 0$.

(2) V *is isomorphic to* $V^\vee$ *as a right* C*-comodule.*

(3) There exists a non-degenerate bilinear form b *on* V *satisfying* (4.5).

If one of the above statements holds, then such a bilinear form b *is unique up to scalar multiples and satisfies* $b(w, \gamma \rightharpoonup v) = \nu(V) \cdot b(v, w)$ *for all* $v, w \in V$.

We prove several statements concerning the FS indicator of $V \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$. The proof will be done by reducing to the case of pivotal algebras in the following way: First fix a subcoalgebra $D \subset C$ satisfying

$$\dim_k(D) < \infty, \quad C_V \subset D \quad \text{and} \quad S(D) \subset D. \tag{4.6}$$

Note that such a subcoalgebra D always exists. Indeed, by (4.4), we have $C_{X^\vee} = S(C_X)$ for all $X \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$. If V is a subcomodule of X, then C_V is a subcoalgebra of C_X. Therefore, since $X = V \oplus V^\vee$ is self-dual and has V as a subcomodule, $D = C_{V \oplus V^\vee}$ satisfies (4.6).

It is obvious that the triple $D = (D, S|_D, \gamma|_D)$ is a copivotal coalgebra and hence $D^\vee$ is a pivotal algebra. As we remarked in the above, the functor

$$F_D : \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(D^\vee) \xrightarrow[(4.1)]{\cong} \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(D) \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$$

is a k-linear faithful strict duality preserving functor. Since $\dim_k(D) < \infty$, this functor is, moreover, full. Hence it preserves the FS indicator. By *regarding V as a left $D^\vee$-module*, we mean taking $V_0 \in \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}(D^\vee)$ such that $F_D(V_0) = V$ and then identifying V_0 with V.

Now we prove an analogue of Theorem 3.5. Let R_C denote the coalgebra C regarded as a right C-comodule by the comultiplication.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose that C is finite-dimensional.*

(a) $\nu(\mathsf{R}_C^\vee) = \mathrm{Tr}(Q)$, where $Q : C \to C$, $c \mapsto S(c_{(1)})\langle \gamma, c_{(2)} \rangle$.

(b) If C is coFrobenius, then $\mathsf{R}_C \cong \mathsf{R}_C^\vee$ as right C-comodules. Hence, $\nu(\mathsf{R}_C) = \nu(\mathsf{R}_C^\vee)$.

Proof. (a) Write $A = C^\vee$ and regard the right C-comodule $\mathsf{R}_C^\vee$ as a left A-module via (4.1). To avoid confusion, we denote by $\rightharpoonup$ the action of A on R_A and by $\rightharpoondown$ that on $\mathsf{R}_C^\vee$. Since the coaction of $\mu \in \mathsf{R}_C^\vee$ is characterized as $\langle \mu_{(0)}, c \rangle \mu_{(1)} = \langle \mu, c_{(1)} \rangle S(c_{(2)})$, the action $\rightharpoondown : A \times \mathsf{R}_C^\vee \to \mathsf{R}_C^\vee$ is given by

$$\langle f \rightharpoondown \mu, c \rangle = \langle f, S(c_{(2)}) \rangle \langle \mu, c_{(1)} \rangle \quad (f \in A, \mu \in \mathsf{R}_C^\vee, c \in C).$$

Consider the map $S^\vee : \mathsf{R}_A \to \mathsf{R}_C^\vee$. For $\lambda \in A$, $f \in \mathsf{R}_A$ and $c \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S^\vee(\lambda \rightharpoonup f), c \rangle = \langle \lambda \star f, S(c) \rangle &= \langle \lambda, S(c_{(2)}) \rangle \langle f, S(c_{(1)}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda, S(c_{(2)}) \rangle \langle S^\vee(f), c_{(1)} \rangle = \langle \lambda \rightharpoondown S^\vee(f), c \rangle\end{aligned}$$

and therefore $S^\vee : \mathsf{R}_A \to \mathsf{R}_C^\vee$ is an isomorphism of A-modules. Applying Theorem 3.5 to $A = C^\vee$, we see that $\nu(\mathsf{R}_C^\vee) = \nu(\mathsf{R}_A)$ is equal to the trace of the map $Q' : A \to A$, $\lambda \mapsto S^\vee(\lambda) \star \gamma$ ($\lambda \in C^\vee$). Since $\langle Q'(\lambda), c \rangle = \langle \lambda, S(c_{(1)}) \rangle \langle \gamma, c_{(2)} \rangle = \langle \lambda, Q(c) \rangle$, Q' is the dual map of Q. Hence, we have $\nu(\mathsf{R}_C^\vee) = \mathrm{Tr}(Q') = \mathrm{Tr}(Q)$.

(b) If C is coFrobenius, then A is Frobenius. Thus, by Theorem 3.5, there is an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathsf{R}_A \to \mathsf{R}_A^\vee$ of A-modules. In the proof of (1), we see that $S^\vee : \mathsf{R}_A \to \mathsf{R}_C^\vee$ is an isomorphism of A-modules. Regarding them as isomorphisms in the category $\mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathsf{R}_C \xrightarrow{\ j\ } \mathsf{R}_C^{\vee\vee} \xrightarrow{S^{\vee\vee}} \mathsf{R}_A^\vee \xrightarrow{\ \varphi\ } \mathsf{R}_A \xrightarrow{S^\vee} \mathsf{R}_C^\vee$$

of right C-comodules. ☐

The following is a coalgebraic version of Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $V \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$ be an absolutely simple comodule and suppose that V is self-dual. Then, by (4.4), the map*

$$S_V : C_V \to C_V, \quad S_V(c) = S(c) \quad (c \in C)$$

is well-defined. We also define

$$Q_V : C_V \to C_V, \quad Q_V(c) = S(\gamma \rightharpoonup c) \quad (= S(c_{(1)})\gamma(c_{(2)})) \quad (c \in C).$$

Then we have:

$$(1)\ \mathrm{Tr}(S_V) = \nu(V) \cdot \gamma(t_V) \qquad (2)\ \mathrm{Tr}(Q_V) = \nu(V) \cdot \dim_k(V).$$

Proof. (1) We regard V as a left $(C_V)^\vee$-module and denote its character by χ_V. Applying Theorem 3.6 to the dual pivotal algebra $(C_V)^\vee$ and by using (4.3), we have $\mathrm{Tr}(S_V) = \nu(V) \cdot \chi_V(\gamma) = \nu(V) \cdot \gamma(t_V)$.

(2) We regard C_V as a right C_V-comodule. Since $C_V \cong \mathrm{End}^{\mathrm{c}}(V)$ is coFrobenius, by Theorem 4.4, we have $\nu(C_V) = \mathrm{Tr}(Q_V)$. Let $d = \dim_k(V)$. Since $C_V \cong V^{\oplus d}$ as a right C-comodule, we have $\nu(C_V) = \nu(V)d$. Hence, $\mathrm{Tr}(Q_V) = \nu(V)d$. ☐

Applying Corollary 3.7 to the dual pivotal algebra of C, we have:

Corollary 4.6. *Suppose that k is algebraically closed and that $C = (C, S, \gamma)$ is a finite-dimensional semisimple copivotal coalgebra. Let $\{V_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ be a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple right C-comodules. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu(V_i)\gamma(t_i),$$

where $t_i = t_{V_i}$ is the character of V_i.

4.3 Coseparable copivotal coalgebras

A coalgebra C is said to be *coseparable* if it has a *coseparability idempotent*, *i.e.*, a bilinear form $\lambda : C \times C \to k$ satisfying $c_{(1)}\lambda(c_{(2)}, d) = \lambda(c, d_{(1)})d_{(2)}$ and $\lambda(c_{(1)}, c_{(2)}) = \varepsilon(c)$ for all $c, d \in C$. If such a form exists, then the forgetful functor $\mathsf{com}(C) \to \mathsf{Vec}(k)$ is separable with section $\Pi_{V,W} : \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W) \to \mathrm{Hom}_C(V, W)$ given by

$$\Pi_{V,W}(f) : V \xrightarrow{\rho_V} V \otimes C \xrightarrow{f \otimes \mathsf{id}_V} W \otimes C \xrightarrow{\rho_W} W \otimes C \otimes C \xrightarrow{\mathsf{id}_W \otimes \lambda} W$$

for $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(V, W)$. The following theorem can be proved by the arguments of §2.4. Nevertheless, to avoid notational difficulties, we do not use Π and prove the theorem by reducing to Theorem 3.8.

Theorem 4.7. *If $C = (C, S, \gamma)$ is a coseparable copivotal coalgebra with coseparability idempotent λ, then, for all $V \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(C)$, we have*

$$\nu(V) = \lambda(S(\gamma \rightharpoonup t_{V(1)}), t_{V(2)}) \quad \Big(= \lambda(S(t_{V(1)}), t_{V(3)})\gamma(t_{V(2)})\Big).$$

Proof. Fix a subcoalgebra D of C satisfying (4.6). D is coseparable with $\lambda_D = \lambda|_{D \times D}$. Since D is finite-dimensional, there exist finite number of linear maps $\lambda'_i, \lambda''_i : D \to k$ such that

$$\lambda_D(x, y) = \sum_i \lambda'_i(x)\lambda''_i(y)$$

for all $x, y \in D$. It is easy to see that $E = \sum_i \lambda'_i \otimes \lambda''_i$ is a separability idempotent for the dual pivotal algebra $D^\vee$. Now we regard V as a left $D^\vee$-module and denote its character by χ_V. Applying Theorem 3.8 to D, we obtain

$$\nu(V) = \sum_i \chi_V(S_X^\vee(\lambda'_i) \star \gamma \star \lambda''_i).$$

Now the desired formula is obtained by using (4.3). □

A *copivotal Hopf algebra* is a Hopf algebra $H = (H, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ equipped with an algebra map $\gamma : H \to k$ satisfying $S^2(x) = \langle \gamma, x_{(1)} \rangle x_{(2)} \langle \gamma, S(x_{(3)}) \rangle$ for all $x \in H$. Since γ is an algebra map, $\gamma \circ S$ is the inverse of γ with respect to the convolution product. Therefore a copivotal Hopf algebra is a copivotal coalgebra.

A *Haar functional* of a Hopf algebra H is a linear map $\lambda : H \to k$ satisfying $\langle \lambda, 1 \rangle = 1$ and $\langle \lambda, x_{(1)} \rangle x_{(2)} = \varepsilon(x)1 = x_{(1)} \langle \lambda, x_{(2)} \rangle$ for all $x \in H$. If λ is a Haar functional of H, then the map

$$\tilde{\lambda} : H \times H \to k, \quad \tilde{\lambda}(x, y) = \langle \lambda, S(x)y \rangle \quad (x, y \in H)$$

is a coseparability idempotent of the coalgebra H. Note that we have

$$S^2(x_{(1)})\langle \gamma, x_{(2)} \rangle = \langle \gamma, x_{(1)} \rangle x_{(2)} \langle \gamma, S(x_{(3)}) \rangle \langle \gamma, x_{(4)} \rangle = \langle \gamma, x_{(1)} \rangle x_{(2)}$$

for all $x \in H$. The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.7:

Corollary 4.8. *Regard a copivotal Hopf algebra $H = (H, \Delta, \varepsilon, S; \gamma)$ as a copivotal coalgebra. If there exists a Haar functional $\lambda : H \to k$ on H, then we have*

$$\nu(V) = \langle \gamma, t_{V(1)} \rangle \langle \lambda, t_{V(2)} t_{V(3)} \rangle$$

for all $V \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(H)$.

We shall explain how can we obtain (1.1) from Corollary 4.8.

Example 4.9. We work over $\mathbb{C}$. Let G be a compact group. A function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be *representative* if there exist finite number of functions $f_i, g_i : G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(xy) = \sum_i f_i(x)g_i(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$. We denote by $R(G)$ the algebra of continuous representative functions on G. $R(G)$ is in fact a Hopf algebra; the comultiplication, the counit and the antipode are given by

$$f_{(1)}(x)f_{(2)}(y) = f(xy), \quad \varepsilon(f) = f(1), \quad S(f)(x) = f(x^{-1})$$

for $f \in R(G)$, $x, y \in G$. Define $\lambda : R(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\lambda(f) = \int_G f(x)d\mu(x)$, where μ is the normalized Haar measure on G. We see that λ is a Haar functional of $R(G)$ (in fact, this is the origin of this term).

The group G acts continuously from the left on each $V \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(R(G))$ by $x \cdot v = v_{(1)}(x) \cdot v_{(0)}$ $(x \in G, v \in V)$. Conversely, if V is a finite-dimensional continuous representation of G, then $R(G)$ coacts from the right on V. If we fix a basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ of V, the coaction of $R(G)$ is described as follows: Define $f_{ij} : G \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$x \cdot v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{ij}(x)v_j \quad (x \in G, i = 1, \dots, n). \tag{4.7}$$

Then each f_{ij} is an element of $R(G)$. The coaction of $R(G)$ on V is defined by

$$V \to V \otimes R(G), \quad v_i \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_j \otimes f_{ij} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

This correspondences give an isomorphism of categories with duality over $\mathbb{C}$ between $\mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(R(G))$ and the category of continuous representations of G.

Now let V be a continuous representation of G with character χ_V. Regarding V as a right $R(G)$-comodule via the above category isomorphism, we obtain $\nu(V) = \lambda(t_{V(1)}t_{V(2)})$ by Corollary 4.8. To compute this value, we fix a basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ of V and define f_{ij} by (4.7). Then $t_V = f_{11} + \cdots + f_{nn}$. Hence, by (4.2), we compute

$$\nu(V) = \lambda(t_{V(1)}t_{V(2)}) = \int_G \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} f_{ij}(x)f_{ji}(x) \right) d\mu(x). \tag{4.8}$$

Since the action of $x \in G$ is represented by $\rho(x) = (f_{ij}(x))_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$, we have

$$\chi_V(x^2) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho(x)^2\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} f_{ij}(x)f_{ji}(x).$$

Substituting this to (4.8), we obtain (1.1).

5 Quantum SL_2

5.1 The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$

In this section, we give some applications of our results to the quantum coordinate algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$ and the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. For details on these Hopf algebras, we refer reader to [38] and [39].

Throughout, the base field k is assumed to be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. $q \in k$ denotes a fixed non-zero parameter which is not a root of unity. We use the following standard notations:

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad [n]_q! = [n]_q \cdot [n-1]_q! \quad (n \geq 1), \quad [0]_q! = 1$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

The quantum coordinate algebra $\mathcal{O}_q(SL(2))$ is a Hopf algebra defined as follows: As an algebra, it is generated by a, b, c and d with relations

$$ab = qba, \quad ac = qca, \quad bd = qdb, \quad cd = qdc, \quad bc = cb,$$
$$ad - qbc = 1 = da - q^{-1}bc.$$

The comultiplication Δ and the counit ε are defined by

$$\Delta(a) = a \otimes a + b \otimes c, \quad \Delta(b) = a \otimes b + b \otimes d, \quad \varepsilon(a) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(b) = 0,$$
$$\Delta(c) = c \otimes a + d \otimes c, \quad \Delta(d) = c \otimes b + d \otimes d, \quad \varepsilon(c) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(d) = 1$$

and the antipode S is given by

$$S(a) = d, \quad S(b) = -q^{-1}b, \quad S(c) = -qc, \quad S(d) = a.$$

We define an algebra map $\gamma : \mathcal{O}_q(SL_2) \to k$ by

$$\gamma(a) = q^{-1}, \quad \gamma(b) = \gamma(c) = 0, \quad \gamma(d) = q.$$

One can check that $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$ is a copivotal Hopf algebra with γ. In what follows, we determine the FS indicator of simple $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$-comodules.

For each $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$, we put $I_\ell = \{-\ell, -\ell + 1, \dots, \ell - 1, \ell\}$ and

$$X_\ell = \operatorname{span}_k\{a^{\ell - i} b^{\ell + i} \mid i \in I_\ell\} \subset \mathcal{O}_q(SL_2).$$

X_ℓ is a right coideal and hence it is a right $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$-comodule. It is known that each X_ℓ is simple and $\{X_\ell \mid \ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple right $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$-comodules. This implies, in particular, that X_ℓ is self-dual.

In this section, we first prove the following result:

Theorem 5.1. $\nu(X_\ell) = (-1)^{2\ell}$.

By Theorem 4.3, this result reads as follows: For each $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a non-degenerate bilinear form β on X_ℓ satisfying $\beta(x_{(0)}, y)x_{(1)} = \beta(x, y_{(0)})S(y_{(1)})$ and $b(y, \gamma \rightharpoonup x) = (-1)^{2\ell} \cdot b(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X_\ell$.

To prove Theorem 5.1, we need a matrix corepresentation of X_ℓ. For each $i \in I_\ell$, we fix a square root λ_i of $[\ell + i]_{q^{-2}}!$ and take

$$x_i^{(\ell)} = \frac{\lambda_\ell}{\lambda_i \lambda_{-i}} a^{\ell - i} b^{\ell + i} \quad (i \in I_\ell)$$

as a basis of X_ℓ. Define $c_{ij}^{(\ell)}$ by $\Delta(x_j^{(\ell)}) = \sum x_j \otimes c_{ij}^{(\ell)}$. The matrix $(c_{ij}^{(\ell)})$ has been explicitly determined and well-studied in relation to unitary representations of a real form of $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$; see, *e.g.*, [39, §4]. Following *loc. cit.*, we have

$$c_{ij}^{(\ell)} = \begin{cases} N_{ij\ell}^{+} \cdot a^{-i-j} c^{i-j} \cdot p_{\ell+j}(\zeta; q^{-2(i-j)}, q^{2(i+j)} | q^{-2}) & (i + j \leq 0, i \geq j), \\ N_{ji\ell}^{+} \cdot a^{-i-j} b^{j-i} \cdot p_{\ell+i}(\zeta; q^{-2(j-i)}, q^{2(i+j)} | q^{-2}) & (i + j \leq 0, i \leq j), \\ N_{ji\ell}^{-} \cdot p_{\ell-i}(\zeta; q^{-2(i-j)}, q^{2(i+j)} | q^{-2}) \cdot c^{i-j} d^{i+j} & (i + j \geq 0, i \geq j), \\ N_{ij\ell}^{-} \cdot p_{\ell-j}(\zeta; q^{-2(i-j)}, q^{2(i+j)} | q^{-2}) \cdot b^{i-j} d^{i+j} & (i + j \geq 0, i \leq j), \end{cases}$$

where $\zeta = -qbc$,

$$N_{ij\ell}^{+} = \frac{q^{-(\ell+j)(j-i)}}{[i-j]_{q^{-2}}!} \cdot \frac{\mu_{+i}\mu_{-j}}{\mu_{+j}\mu_{-i}}, \quad N_{ij\ell}^{+} = \frac{q^{(\ell-j)(j-i)}}{[j-i]_{q^{-2}}!} \cdot \frac{\mu_{-i}\mu_{+j}}{\mu_{-j}\mu_{+i}} \quad (= N_{-i,-j,\ell}^{+}),$$

and p_m is the *little q-Jacobi polynomial* [39, §2]. We omit the definition of p_m; in what follows, we need only the fact that $p_m(\zeta; q_1, q_2 | q_3)$ $(q_i \in k)$ is a polynomial of ζ. Note that, since $S(\zeta) = \zeta$, we have

$$S(p_m(\zeta; q_1, q_2 | q_3)) = p_m(S(\zeta); q_1, q_2 | q_3) = p_m(\zeta; q_1, q_2 | q_3). \tag{5.1}$$

Since $f \mapsto (\gamma \rightharpoonup f)$ $(f \in \mathcal{O}_q(SL_2))$ is an algebra map, we also have

$$\gamma \rightharpoonup p_m(\zeta; q_1, q_2 | q_3) = p_m(\gamma \rightharpoonup \zeta; q_1, q_2 | q_3) = p_m(\zeta; q_1, q_2 | q_3). \tag{5.2}$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let C_ℓ be the coefficient subcoalgebra of X_ℓ. Define

$$Q_\ell : C_\ell \to C_\ell \quad Q_\ell(f) = S(\gamma \rightharpoonup f) \quad (f \in C_\ell).$$

Q_ℓ is well-defined since X_ℓ is self-dual. By Theorem 4.5, we have

$$\nu(X_\ell) = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(Q_\ell)}{\dim_k(X_\ell)} = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(Q_\ell)}{2\ell + 1}.$$

By (5.1), (5.2) and the above description of $c_{ij}^{(\ell)}$, we have

$$Q_\ell(c_{ij}^{(\ell)}) = S(\gamma \rightharpoonup c_{ij}^{(\ell)}) = (\text{constant}) \times S(c_{ij}^{(\ell)}) = (\text{constant}) \times c_{-j,-i}^{(\ell)}$$

for all $i, j \in I_\ell$. Recall that $\{c_{ij}^{(\ell)}\}$ is a basis of C_ℓ since X_ℓ is simple. The above computation means that Q_ℓ is represented by a generalized permutation matrix with respect to this basis.

Note that $(i,j) = (-j,-i)$ if and only if $j = -i$. If $i \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\ell(c^{(\ell)}_{i,-i}) &= q^{-2i} \cdot S\Big(N^+_{i,-i,\ell} \cdot c^{2i} \cdot p_{\ell-i}(\zeta; q^{-4i}, 1|q^{-2})\Big) \\ &= q^{-2i} \cdot N^+_{i,-i,\ell} \cdot p_{\ell-i}(\zeta; q^{-4i}, 1|q^{-2}) \cdot (-q)^{2i} = (-1)^{2i} \cdot c^{(\ell)}_{i,-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\ell - i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $(-1)^{2i} = (-1)^{2\ell}$. In a similar way, we also have $Q_\ell(c^{(\ell)}_{i,-i}) = (-1)^{2\ell}$ for $i < 0$. Hence we obtain $\mathrm{Tr}(Q_\ell) = (-1)^{2\ell} \cdot (2\ell + 1)$. $\square$

$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has a Hopf algebra automorphism τ given by

$$\tau(a) = a, \quad \tau(b) = -b, \quad \tau(c) = -c, \quad \tau(d) = d.$$

τ is an involution such that $\gamma \circ \tau = \gamma$ and hence the τ-twisted FS indicator $\nu^\tau(X)$ is defined for each $X \in \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2))$. Replacing S in the proof of Theorem 5.1 with $S \circ \tau$, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5.2. $\nu^\tau(X_\ell) = +1$.

By Theorem 4.3, this result reads as follows: For each $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a non-degenerate bilinear form β on X_ℓ satisfying $\beta(x_{(0)}, y)\tau(x_{(1)}) = \beta(x, y_{(0)})S(y_{(1)})$ and $\beta(w, \gamma \rightharpoonup v) = \beta(v, w)$ for all $v, w \in X_\ell$.

Remark 5.3. The character t_ℓ of X_ℓ is given by

$$t_\ell = \sum_{i \in I_\ell} c^{(\ell)}_{ii} = \sum_{i \in I_\ell, i \geq 0} a^{2i} p_{\ell+i}(\zeta; 1, q^{-4i}|q^{-2}) + \sum_{i \in I_\ell, i > 0} p_{\ell+i}(\zeta; 1, q^{4i}|q^{-2}) d^{2i}.$$

One can prove Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 by Theorem 4.7 and its corollary (see [39, §4] for a description of the Haar functional on $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$). However, the computation will become more difficult than the above proof.

5.2 The Hopf algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

The quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a Hopf algebra defined as follows: As an algebra, it is generated by E, F, K and K^{-1} with relations $KK^{-1} = 1 = K^{-1}K$,

$$KEK^{-1} = q^2 E, \quad KFK^{-1} = q^{-2} F \quad \text{and} \quad EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

The comultiplication Δ, the counit ε and the antipode S are given by

$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K, \quad \Delta(E) = E \otimes K + 1 \otimes E, \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes 1 + K^{-1} \otimes F,$$
$$S(K) = K^{-1}, \ S(E) = -EK^{-1}, \ S(F) = -KF, \ \varepsilon(K) = 1, \ \varepsilon(E) = \varepsilon(F) = 0.$$

We have $S^2(u) = KuK^{-1}$ for all $u \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Hence the Hopf algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is pivotal with pivotal grouplike element K.

For each $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$, we define a left $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module V_ℓ as follows: As a vector space, it has a basis $\{v_i\}_{i \in I_\ell}$. The action of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ on V_ℓ is defined by

$$K \cdot v_i = q^{2i} v_i, \ E \cdot v_i = [\ell - i + 1]_q v_{i-1}, \ F \cdot v_i = [\ell + i + 1]_q v_{i+1} \ (i \in I_\ell),$$

where $v_{\ell+1} = v_{-(\ell+1)} = 0$.

There is a unique Hopf paring $\langle -, - \rangle : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \times \mathcal{O}_q(SL_2) \to k$ such that

$$\langle K, a \rangle = q^{-1}, \quad \langle K, d \rangle = q, \quad \langle E, c \rangle = 1, \quad \langle F, b \rangle = 1,$$
$$\langle K, b \rangle = \langle K, c \rangle = \langle E, a \rangle = \langle E, b \rangle = \langle E, d \rangle = \langle F, a \rangle = \langle F, c \rangle = \langle F, d \rangle = 0;$$

see [39, §4] and [40, V.7]. This paring induces an algebra map $\varphi : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \to \mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)^\vee$. Since $\varphi(K) = \gamma$, φ is in fact a morphism of pivotal algebras. Hence we obtain a k-linear duality preserving functor

$$\Phi : \mathsf{com}_{\mathsf{fd}}\Big(\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)\Big) \xrightarrow[(4.1)]{} \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}\Big(\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)^\vee\Big) \xrightarrow{\varphi^\natural} \mathsf{mod}_{\mathsf{fd}}\Big(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\Big).$$

One has $\Phi(X_\ell) \cong V_\ell$. In particular, Φ maps simple objects to simple objects. Since $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$ is cosemisimple, the functor Φ is fully faithful and therefore Φ preserves the FS indicator. Hence, by Theorem 5.1, we have:

Theorem 5.4. $\nu(V_\ell) = (-1)^{2\ell}$.

By Theorem 3.8, this result reads as follows: For each $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a non-degenerate bilinear form β on V_ℓ satisfying $\beta(uv, w) = \beta(v, S(u)w)$ and $\beta(w, Kv) = (-1)^{2\ell} \cdot \beta(v, w)$ for all $u \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $v, w \in V_\ell$.

$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has a Hopf algebra automorphism τ defined by $\tau(E) = -E$, $\tau(F) = -F$, $\tau(K) = K$. It is obvious that τ is an involution of the pivotal algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Since $\langle \tau(u), f \rangle = \langle u, \tau(f) \rangle$ for all $u \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$, Φ also preserves the τ-twisted FS indicator. Therefore we have:

Theorem 5.5. $\nu^\tau(V_\ell) = +1$.

This result reads as follows: For each $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$, there exists a non-degenerate bilinear form β on V_ℓ satisfying $\beta(\tau(u)v, w) = b(v, S(u)w)$ and $\beta(w, Kv) = b(v, w)$ for all $u \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and $v, w \in V_\ell$.

6 Conclusions

As we have briefly reviewed in Section 1, the celebrated theorem of Frobenius and Schur has several generalizations. To give a category-theoretical understanding of these generalizations, in Section 2, we have introduced the FS indicator for categories with duality over a field k; if $\mathcal{C}$ is a category with duality over k, then a linear map $\mathsf{T}_{X,Y} : \mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(X, Y^\vee) \to \mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(Y, X^\vee)$, $f \mapsto f^\vee \circ j$ is defined for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. We call $\mathsf{T}_{X,Y}$ the transposition map. The FS indicator $\nu(X)$ of $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is defined to be the trace of $\mathsf{T}_{X,X} : \mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(X, X^\vee) \to \mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(X, X^\vee)$. We have also introduced a general method to twist the given duality by an adjunction, which is a category-theoretical counterpart of several twisted versions of the Frobenius-Schur theorem.

In Section 3, we have introduced the notion of a pivotal algebra. The representation category of a pivotal algebra has duality and therefore the FS indicator is defined for each its representation. We have given a representation-theoretic interpretation of the FS indicator and a formula of the FS indicator for separable pivotal algebras. These results yield the Frobenius-Schur-type theorems for Hopf algebras, quasi-Hopf algebras, weak Hopf C^*-algebras and Doi's group-like algebras. The notion of pivotal algebras is useful to deal with the twisted FS indicator; as a demonstration, we have constructed the twisted Frobenius-Schur theory for quasi-Hopf algebras.

In Section 4, we have introduced the notion of a copivotal coalgebra as the dual notion of a pivotal algebra and gave results for copivotal coalgebras analogous to pivotal algebras. In particular, we have given a representation-theoretic interpretation of the FS indicator and a formula of the FS indicator for coseparable copivotal coalgebras.

In Section 5, we have applied our results to the quantum coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$ and the quantum enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. For each $\ell \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$, there $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$ has a unique simple right comodule X_ℓ of dimension 2ℓ. We have proved $\nu(X_\ell) = (-1)^{2\ell}$ and analogous results for the twisted case and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ case. As we have remarked, the Haar functional on $\mathcal{O}_q(SL_2)$ is not used in our proof. We expect that the FS indicator for general $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ will be determined by using the Haar functional.

Acknowledgments

The author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (24·3606).

References

[1] Linchenko, V.; Montgomery, S. A Frobenius-Schur theorem for Hopf algebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **2000**, *3*, 347–355.

[2] Mason, G.; Ng, S.-H. Central invariants and Frobenius-Schur indicators for semisimple quasi-Hopf algebras. *Adv. Math.* **2005**, *190*, 161–195.

[3] Schauenburg, P. On the Frobenius-Schur indicators for quasi-Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* **2004**, *282*, 129–139.

[4] Bantay, P. The Frobenius-Schur indicator in conformal field theory. *Phys. Lett. B* **1997**, *394*, 87–88.

[5] Fuchs, J.; Ganchev, A.C.; Szlachányi, K.; Vecsernyés, P. S_4 symmetry of $6j$ symbols and Frobenius-Schur indicators in rigid monoidal C^* categories. *J. Math. Phys.* **1999**, *40*, 408–426.

[6] Fuchs, J.; Schweigert, C. Category theory for conformal boundary conditions. In *Vertex operator algebras in mathematics and physics (Toronto, ON, 2000)*; Amer. Math. Soc.: Providence, RI, 2003; Vol. 39, *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pp. 25–70.

[7] Ng, S.-H.; Schauenburg, P. Frobenius-Schur indicators and exponents of spherical categories. *Adv. Math.* **2007**, *211*, 34–71.

[8] Ng, S.-H.; Schauenburg, P. Higher Frobenius-Schur indicators for pivotal categories. In *Hopf algebras and generalizations*; Amer. Math. Soc.: Providence, RI, 2007; Vol. 441, *Contemp. Math.*, pp. 63–90.

[9] Ng, S.-H.; Schauenburg, P. Central invariants and higher indicators for semisimple quasi-Hopf algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2008**, *360*, 1839–1860.

[10] Ng, S.-H.; Schauenburg, P. Congruence subgroups and generalized Frobenius-Schur indicators. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **2010**, *300*, 1–46.

[11] Kashina, Y.; Sommerhäuser, Y.; Zhu, Y. Self-dual modules of semisimple Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* **2002**, *257*, 88–96.

[12] Kashina, Y.; Mason, G.; Montgomery, S. Computing the Frobenius-Schur indicator for abelian extensions of Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* **2002**, *251*, 888–913.

[13] Kashina, Y.; Sommerhäuser, Y.; Zhu, Y. On higher Frobenius-Schur indicators. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2006**, *181*, viii+65.

[14] Kashina, Y.; Montgomery, S.; Ng, S.-H. On the trace of the antipode and higher indicators. *Israel J. Math.* **2012**, *188*, 57–89.

[15] Natale, S. Frobenius-Schur indicators for a class of fusion categories. *Pacific J. Math.* **2005**, *221*, 353–377.

[16] Shimizu, K. Frobenius-Schur indicators in Tambara-Yamagami categories. *J. Algebra* **2011**, *332*, 543–564.

[17] Shimizu, K. Some Computations of Frobenius-Schur Indicators of the Regular Representations of Hopf Algebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **2012**, *15*, 325–357.

[18] Kawanaka, N.; Matsuyama, H. A twisted version of the Frobenius-Schur indicator and multiplicity-free permutation representations. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **1990**, *19*, 495–508.

[19] Sage, D.S.; Vega, M.D. Twisted Frobenius-Schur indicators for Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* **2012**, *354*, 136–147.

[20] Terada, J. Association scheme ni okeru Frobenius-Schur no teiri (Frobenius-Schur theorem for association schemes, in Japanese). *RIMS Kokyuroku* **2006**, *1476*, 21–27.

[21] Balmer, P. Witt groups. In *Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2*; Springer: Berlin, 2005; pp. 539–576.

[22] Calmès, B.; Hornbostel, J. Tensor-triangulated categories and dualities. *Theory Appl. Categ.* **2009**, *22*, No. 6, 136–200.

[23] Bump, D.; Ginzburg, D. Generalized Frobenius-Schur numbers. *J. Algebra* **2004**, *278*, 294–313.

[24] Mac Lane, S. *Categories for the working mathematician*, second ed.; Vol. 5, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag: New York, 1998; pp. xii+314.

[25] Doi, Y. Group-like algebras and their representations. *Comm. Algebra* **2010**, *38*, 2635–2655.

[26] Caenepeel, S.; Militaru, G.; Zhu, S. *Frobenius and separable functors for generalized module categories and nonlinear equations*; Vol. 1787, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2002.

[27] Jedwab, A. A trace-like invariant for representations of Hopf algebras. *Comm. Algebra* **2010**, *38*, 3456–3468.

[28] Jedwab, A.; Krop, L. Trace-like invariant for representations of nilpotent liftings of quantum planes. *Comm. Algebra* **2011**, *39*, 1465–1475.

[29] Doi, Y. Bi-Frobenius algebras and group-like algebras. In *Hopf algebras*; Dekker: New York, 2004; Vol. 237, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pp. 143–155.

[30] Böhm, G.; Nill, F.; Szlachányi, K. Weak Hopf algebras. I. Integral theory and C^*-structure. *J. Algebra* **1999**, *221*, 385–438.

[31] Böhm, G.; Szlachányi, K. Weak Hopf algebras. II. Representation theory, dimensions, and the Markov trace. *J. Algebra* **2000**, *233*, 156–212.

[32] Larson, R.G.; Radford, D.E. Finite-dimensional cosemisimple Hopf algebras in characteristic 0 are semisimple. *J. Algebra* **1988**, *117*, 267–289.

[33] Drinfeld, V.G. Quasi-Hopf algebras. *Algebra i Analiz* **1989**, *1*, 114–148.

[34] Etingof, P.; Nikshych, D.; Ostrik, V. On fusion categories. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **2005**, *162*, 581–642.

[35] Hausser, F.; Nill, F. Integral Theory for Quasi-Hopf Algebras **1999**.

[36] Panaite, F. A Maschke-type theorem for quasi-Hopf algebras. In *Rings, Hopf algebras, and Brauer groups (Antwerp/Brussels, 1996)*; Dekker: New York, 1998; Vol. 197, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pp. 201–207.

[37] Dăscălescu, S.; Năstăsescu, C.; Raianu, Ş. *Hopf algebras*; Vol. 235, *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker Inc.: New York, 2001; pp. x+401. An introduction.

[38] Kassel, C. *Quantum groups*; Vol. 155, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag: New York, 1995.

[39] Klimyk, A.; Schmüdgen, K. *Quantum groups and their representations*; Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1997.

[40] Kassel, C.; Schneider, H.J. Homotopy theory of Hopf Galois extensions. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **2005**, *55*, 2521–2550.